



What is this class about?

Concentration of random matrices.

Scalar valued
vector valued
matrix valued

because the concentration is
of a statistic that's sensible
when viewing the object
as a matrix.

Spectrum, λ_1 (largest eigenvalue),
spectral norm, ...

Our focus is on applications to theoretical
computer science

① algorithm design : graph sparsification,
randomized numerical linear algebra
graph partitioning,
analysis of algo on rand
inputs, ...

② statistical estimation : estimation from samples
covariance / moments,
sparse regression, compressive sensing, ...

③ coding theory / combinatorics : girth problems, ...

- ④ Complexity Theory: construction/existence of expander graphs,
 - ⑤ Quantum Information: quantum query algs.
 - ⑥ discrepancy theory:
locating the union bound
-

Plan at the beginning:

Basic Matrix Conc Inequalities, Proofs,
Tight cases

Let's start by recalling three standard, simple concentration inequalities for which we will soon write the matrix analogs

Khintchine inequality "Simplest"?

a_1, \dots, a_n real numbers.

g_1, \dots, g_n independent Gaussian random variables.

b_1, \dots, b_n independent, uniform ± 1 rand vars
Then, "Rademacher"

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\sum_i g_i a_i| \right] = \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

$$C \cdot \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[|\sum_i b_i a_i| \right] \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\sum_i a_i^2}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad C = 1.$$

Bernstein Inequality more general than
Khintchine

X_1, \dots, X_n independent, zero-mean,
 $X_i \leq L$ almost surely.

$$\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_i^2}$$

Then, $\Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq 2t \cdot \sigma\right] \leq e^{-t^2}$

for all $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\sigma}{2L}$.

Chevoff Bound natural for Bernoulli
deviation relative to the mean

X_1, \dots, X_n ^{indep}, $X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } p_i \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

$$\mu = E[\sum_i X_i] = \sum_i p_i$$

Then,

$$Pr[\sum_i X_i \geq (1+\delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\frac{\mu \cdot \delta^2}{2+\delta}} \quad \forall \delta > 0$$

$$Pr[\sum_i X_i \leq (1-\delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\frac{\mu \cdot \delta^2}{2}} \quad \forall 0 < \delta < 1$$

We first start with the matrix analog of Khintchine inequality. We will later use it to establish matrix analogs of Bernstein and Chernoff too.

Matrix Khintchine Inequality

[Lust-Piquard, Pisier '91]

There are absolute constants $c, C > 0$
s.t. the following holds.

For any A_1, \dots, A_n , $m \times m$ real
symmetric matrices

$$c \cdot \sigma(\vec{A}) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\vec{g}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n g_i A_i \right\|_2 \leq C \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \sigma(\vec{A})$$

Here \vec{g} is a vector of standard Gaussian random variables. $\sigma(\vec{A}) = \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_i A_i A_i^T \right\|_2, \left\| \sum_i A_i^T A_i \right\|_2 \right\}$

Concrete, we can take $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $C = \sqrt{2e}$

Further, there exist $c', C' > 0$ s.t.

$$c \cdot \sigma(\vec{A}) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\vec{b}} \left\| \sum_i b_i A_i \right\|_2 \leq C \cdot \sqrt{\log m} \cdot \sigma(\vec{A})$$

where b_i are uniform, indep $\{\pm 1\}$ -rand. vars.

Remark: (the $\sqrt{\log m}$ dependence is tight)

Ex 1: $A_i = e_i e_i^T$ where $e_i = (0, \dots, \underset{i^{th} \text{ pos}}{1}, \dots)$

Then $\sum_i g_i A_i$ is a matrix of indep standard Gaussians on the diagonal

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_i g_i A_i \right\|_2 = \max_g \{ |g_1|, \dots, |g_m| \} \gtrsim \sqrt{\log m}$$

Ex 2: (lower tail is tight).

$$A_{i,j} = e_i e_j^T \quad \# i \neq j.$$

Then $E \left\| \sum_i g_{i,j} A_{i,j} \right\|_2 = (2 + o(1)) \sqrt{m}$

while $\sigma(\vec{A}) = \sqrt{m-1}$

Ex 3: (tightness in the Rademacher case)

① Suppose $m = 2^n$.

Choose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ to be the set of all possible $\{-1, 1\}$ entry vectors.

Set $A_i(r, s) = v_r(s)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \left\| \sum_i b_i \cdot A_i \right\|_2 &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \langle b, v_j \rangle \\ &= n \quad \# b. \\ &= \left\| \sum_i A_i^2 \right\|_2 \sqrt{\log m}. \end{aligned}$$

⑥ Suppose $m \ll 2^n$.

Then,

Applications to Graph Sparsification

Definition (ϵ -cut sparsifier)

Let $G(V, E)$ be an undirected, unweighted graph on $n = |V|$ vertices.

A weighted graph $G'(V, E', w: E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+)$ is an ϵ -cut sparsifier for G if $\forall S \subseteq V$

$$(1-\epsilon) |E_G(S, \bar{S})| \leq |E_{G'}(S, \bar{S})| \leq (1+\epsilon) |E_G(S, \bar{S})|$$

Here, $E_G(S, \bar{S}) = \{e = \{i, j\} \in E \mid i \in S, j \in \bar{S}\}$

$$\& |E_{G'}(S, \bar{S})| = \sum_{e \in E' \cap E_G(S, \bar{S})} w_e$$

Remark: ϵ -cut sparsifiers satisfy a relative error guarantee \rightarrow small cuts have a smaller absolute error. This is a key difficulty in constructing them.

Theorem: For every (weighted) graph $G(V|E)$ there is an ϵ -cut sparsifier with $m = O\left(\frac{n \cdot \log(n)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ edges. $O\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ known!

<u>History:</u>	Combinatorial methods + sampling	Karger, KargerStein
1993, 95		
2006	Spectral method + sampling	Spielman Srivastava
2008	Convex programming	Batson-Spielman Srivastava

Today: an iterative halving argument

Let's present the key piece first

Towards this let's first relate cut sparsification to quadratic form approximation.

A_G : adjacency matrix, $n \times n$

$$A_G(i,j) = \begin{cases} w_e & \text{if } e = \{i,j\} \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$L_G = D_G - A_G$$

$$\text{where } D_G(i,i) = \sum_{e: e \ni i} w_e, D_G(i,j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j$$

diagonal matrix of
weighted degrees

For edge i,j , let $L_e = \begin{matrix} i & j \\ j & i \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
edge Laplacian

Obsⁿ: $x^T L_e x = (x_i - x_j)^2$

Obsⁿ: L_e is a rank 1, positive semidefinite

Obsⁿ: $L_G = \sum_{e \in E} L_e$, is also PSD.

Obsⁿ: If G is connected, L_G has a kernel of dim 1.

Obsⁿ(cuts vs ± 1 -vector quad forms)

Let $S \subseteq V$. Define $x = \mathbf{1}_S - \mathbf{1}_{\bar{S}}$

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S \\ -1 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Then $x^T L_{G'} x = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} w_e \cdot (x_i - x_j)^2$

$$= 4 \cdot |E_{G'}(S, \bar{S})|$$

Def (ϵ -Spectral Sparsifier)

$G'(V, E')$ is an ϵ -Spectral Sparsifier of $G(V, E)$ if $(1-\epsilon) L_G \leq L_{G'} \leq (1+\epsilon) \cdot L_G$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall z, z^T L_{G'} z \in (1 \pm \epsilon) \cdot z^T L_G z$$

Spectral sparsifier \equiv approximate all quad forms

Cut Sparsifier \equiv approximate ± 1 -vector quad forms

Splitting Lemma: Let $G(V, E, w)$ be a weighted graph with $m \geq$ edges. Then there's a weighted graph $G'(V, E', w')$ such that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |E'| \leq \left(\frac{7}{8}\right) \cdot |E|.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (1 - \delta) L_G \leq L_{G'} \leq (1 + \delta) \cdot L_G$$

for $\delta = O\left(\sqrt{\log n}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$

Let's first see how to complete the proof using this lemma.

Sparsification Algo:

1) Set $E_0 = E$. Let $m_0 := |E|$
 $w^0 = \vec{w}$.

2) For $i = 1, 2, \dots$

② while $m_{i-1} \geq \frac{c \cdot n \cdot \log n}{\epsilon^2}$

apply Splitting Lemma on

$G(V, E_i, w^{i-1})$

to obtain E', w'

③ Set $E_i = E'$, $w^i = w'$

3) Go to step 1.

Let i^* be the final iteration.

Analysis

Condition on $\exists m_{i-1} \geq m_i \geq \frac{5}{8}m_{i-1}$

$\forall i \leq i^* \rightarrow$ event occurs with $(-\gamma_n)$ probability

enough to bound

$$\left\| \sum_{e \in E_{i^*}} w_e^{i^*} \tilde{L}_e - \tilde{L}_{i^*} \right\|_2$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{i^*} \left\| \sum_{e \in E_i} w_e^i \tilde{L}_e - \sum_{e \in E_{i-1}} w_e^{i-1} \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{i^*} \left\| \sum_{e \in E_{i-1}} w_e^{i-1} \tilde{L}_e \right\| \cdot C' \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\delta}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_{i-1}}}$$

↓
 abs
 constant

①

For large enough C s.t. $m \geq \frac{C \cdot \log n \cdot n}{\epsilon^2}$

Let's argue by induction on t

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \left\| \sum_{CEE_i} w_c^{i-1} \tilde{L}_c \right\|_2 \cdot c' \sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_i}}$$

$$\leq c'' \cdot \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_t}}. \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

True easily for $t=1$.

Let's argue the inductive step.

If true for $t-1$, then $\forall i \leq t-1$

$$\left\| \sum_{CEE_i} w_c^i \tilde{L}_c \right\|_2 \leq \left(1 + c'' \sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_i}}\right)$$

$$\leq 2 \text{ for large enough } c.$$

So LHS of (1)

$$\leq 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^t c' \cdot \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_{i-1}}} \leq 20 \left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m_t}} \right)$$

as m_i decrease geometrically. (2)

$$= C^u \cdot \sqrt{\log n}^I \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_L}} \text{ as derived.}$$

Let's now prove the splitting lemma.

Proof: WLOG assume G is connected.

1. From relative to additive err.

Def (normalized edge Laplacian)

$$\tilde{L}_e = L_G^{1/2} \cdot L_e \cdot L_G^{1/2}$$

Aside: $L_G = \sum \lambda_i \cdot v_i v_i^T$ be EVD

then $L_G^+ = \sum_{i: \lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i^{-1} \cdot v_i v_i^T$ "pseudo inverse"

$L_G^{th} = \sum_{i: \lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot v_i v_i^T$ "pseudo inverse square root"

Obs: $\sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e = L_G^+ \cdot L_G \cdot L_G^+ \cdot \tilde{L}_e$

$$= \sum_{i: \lambda_i > 0} v_i v_i^T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{I}_L$$

Obs: Let $E' \subseteq E$.

Then $\sum_{e \in E'} w_e' \cdot \tilde{L}_e \stackrel{!+\delta}{\approx} \sum_{e \in E} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e$

iff $\left\| \sum_{e \in E'} w_e' \cdot \tilde{L}_e - \sum_{e \in E} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2 \leq 2\delta$

Proof of obs^n: Note $L_G \vec{1}^T = L_{G'} \vec{1}^T = 0$ & L_G has rank $n-1$.
 So suppose $x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \perp \vec{1}$.

Then

$$\text{fx: } |x^T \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e^T L_e \right) x - x^T \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right) x| \\ \leq \delta \cdot x^T \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right) x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{fx: } |x \cdot L_G^{Y_2} \cdot \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e^T \tilde{L}_e \right) \cdot L_G^{Y_2} x \\ - x^T \cdot L_G^{Y_2} \cdot \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right) L_G^{Y_2} x| \\ \leq \delta \cdot (L_G^{Y_2} x)^T (L_G^{Y_2} x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{fy: } |y^T \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e^T \tilde{L}_e \right) y - y^T \left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right) y| \\ \leq \delta \cdot \|y\|_2^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\| \sum_{e \in E} w_e^T \tilde{L}_e - \sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2 \leq \delta.$$

Step 2: "subsample less important edges"

Def of importance) Given $G(V|E)$, $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$I(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e\|_2$$

Claim: $\sum_{e \in E} I(e) =$

Pf.: $\sum_{e \in E} I(e) = \sum_{e \in E} \|w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e\|_2$

$$= \sum_{e \in E} \text{Tr}(w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e)$$

$$= \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{e \in E} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e\right)$$

$$= \text{Tr}\left(L_G^{+/-} \cdot L_G^{-/+} L_G^{+/-}\right) = \text{Tr}(I_L)$$

$$= n - 1.$$

Corollary: For at least $\frac{m}{2}$ edges,

$$I(e) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{n-1}{m}.$$

Pf.: Markov + claim.

Sampling Scheme:) Let $E^{\text{good}} = E \cap \{e : I(e) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{m}\}$

2) let $s \in \{\pm\}^{E^{\text{good}}}$ be chosen uniformly at random.

3) Set $E' = E \mid E^{\text{good}} \cup \{e \in E^{\text{good}} \mid s_e = 1\}$

4) $w'_e = \begin{cases} w_e & \text{if } e \in E \mid E^{\text{good}} \\ 2w_e & \text{if } e \in E' \setminus E^{\text{good}} \\ 0 & \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$

Claim: If $m \geq n \log n$, then
with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n^{100}}$,

$$\frac{3}{4}m \leq |E'| \leq \frac{7m}{8}$$

Pf: Chernoff bound.

Step 3: Error Analysis

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{e \in E'} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e - \sum_{e \in E} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{e \in E^{\text{good}}} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{NCK}}{\leq} \sqrt{\log n} \left\| \sum_{e \in E^{\text{good}}} (w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e)^2 \right\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{①} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\forall e \in E^{\text{good}}, w_e \tilde{L}_e \in \mathcal{I}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{e \in E^{\text{good}}} (w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e)^2 \\
 & \leq I(e) \cdot \mathbb{I} \cdot \sum_{e \in E^{\text{good}}} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e \\
 & \leq I(e) \sum_{e \in E} w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e = I(e) \cdot \mathbb{I} \perp
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left\| \sum_{e \in E^{\text{good}}} (w_e \cdot \tilde{L}_e)^2 \right\|_2$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n-1}{m} \right).$$

Thus, by ①,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \sum_{e \in E'} w_e' \tilde{L}_e - \sum_{e \in E} w_e \tilde{L}_e \right\|_2 \\
 & \leq O(\sqrt{\log n}) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Notes:

The algorithm suggests a natural way to improve C & the key (for this reason we choose to present this version instead of the Spielman-Grvastava original).

→ instead of choosing S randomly in the splitting step, we could try to find the best S that drops m by a constant factor while controlling the spectral norm of the signing.

This is one way to view the
idea of Batson-Spielman-
Srivastava that yields a
“twice ramanian Sparsifier”
with $O\left(\frac{n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ edges.