COS 217: Introduction to Programming Systems

Assignment 4: Directory and File Trees





1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-14)

- Beyond the simplest linked lists: trees
- Introduce the Abstract Object (AO) model
 - Similar to Abstract Data Type (ADT), but there's only one of them
 - Don't pass an "object" to functions they implicitly use the appropriate static variables

```
Abstract Data Type Abstract Object

struct myADT {
    int var1, var2;
};
typedef struct myADT *myADT_T;
void myADT_func1(myADT_T obj, int param)
{ ... }
Abstract Object
static int myA0_var1, myA0_var2;
```



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-14)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 11, precepts 5 and 9)
 - Especially using gdb and, to a lesser extent, MemInfo



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-14)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 11, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
 - Some of the testing cases/code may not be written for you (eep!)
 - You will write a "checker" that verifies an AO's internal state to make sure it's sound



- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-14)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 11, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
- 4. Design your own modules and interfaces (lecture 13)
 - We will give you a high-level interface and client code
 - You will decide what other modules to write, and what interfaces they have





- 1. Gain more familiarity with data structures (lecture 10, precepts 10-14)
- 2. Practice debugging (lecture 11, precepts 5 and 9)
- 3. Take responsibility for your own testing (lectures 9 and 13)
- 4. Design your own modules and interfaces (lecture 13)
- 5. Read code that you didn't write
 - Unusual assignment: large parts of it don't involve writing code
 - Mimics the real world: you won't re-write **fash** from scratch on day 1






The Sting (1973)





Trees (as implemented by computer scientists) root leaf leaf leaf leaf 12



Trees and Filesystems




- Trees encode hierarchical relationships
- So do filesystems

- A directory can hold files or other directories ("folders", if you must ...)
- All directories and files are reachable from the root

Filesystems as Trees


- Small extension of plain trees
 - All interior nodes are directories
 - Some leaves are files, with associated contents



Filesystems as Trees




- Our naming convention: each node has a path name
 - Path name of a node has the path of parent, plus a '/', plus the name of node
 - Root node has its own name



Filesystems as Trees



- Our naming convention: each node has a path name
 - Names need not be globally unique, but siblings must have distinct names



A4 Premise



- Someone has created a filesystem-as-tree API ... plus some not-so-great implementations of simpler versions of this data structure
- You have access to the API, and client code
- You do not have access to all the implementations
- Parts 1 and 2: figure out why the implementations are buggy
- Part 3: refactor, rework, and extend a partial implementation to match new API



Part 1 Simplifications



Simplification #1: no files – everything's a directory. (Also for part 2.)

Simplification #2: binary trees – no more than 2 children per node.

Put these together, and we have **Binary Directory Trees (BDTs)**.

Part 1 API



Summary of API in bdt.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

<pre>int BDT_init(void);</pre>	Sets the data structure to initialized status.
<pre>int BDT_destroy(void);</pre>	Removes all contents and returns data structure to uninitialized status.
<pre>int BDT_insert(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Inserts a new path into the tree, if possible. (Like mkdir -p)
<pre>boolean BDT_contains(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a Node with absolute path pcPath?
<pre>int BDT_rm(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Removes the directory hierarchy rooted at path. (Like rm -r)
<pre>char* BDT_toString(void);</pre>	Returns a string representation of the data structure.

Part 1 Functionality



```
So, how does this work? Let's look at some (renamed) excerpts from bdt_client.c
assert(BDT_init() == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_insert("a") == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_insert("a/b/c") == SUCCESS);
assert(BDT_contains ("a") == TRUE);
assert(BDT_contains ("a/b") == TRUE);
assert(BDT_contains ("a/b/c") == TRUE);
assert((temp = BDT_toString()) != NULL);
fprintf(stderr, "%s\n", temp);
                  a
```

a/b

a/b/c



Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: a4def.h Definitions

```
/* Return statuses */
```

```
enum { SUCCESS,
```

```
INITIALIZATION_ERROR,
```

```
ALREADY_IN_TREE,
```

```
NO_SUCH_PATH, CONFLICTING_PATH, BAD_PATH,
```

```
NOT_A_DIRECTORY, NOT_A_FILE,
```

```
MEMORY_ERROR
```

```
};
```

```
/* In lieu of a proper boolean datatype */
```

```
enum bool { FALSE, TRUE };
```

```
/* Make enumeration "feel" more like a builtin type */
```

```
23
typedef enum bool boolean;
```



BDT Abstract Object static variable declarations:

24

/* 1. a flag for being in an initialized state (TRUE) or not (FALSE) */
static boolean bIsInitialized;

/* 2. a pointer to the root node in the hierarchy */
static struct node *psRroot;

/* 3. a counter of the number of nodes in the hierarchy */
static size_t ulCount;

Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (at program start)

bIsInitialized FALSE

•

psRoot


ulCount

0


How do we know that these are the initial values, given that we did not initialize them explicitly?

(Hint: what section of memory are they in?)

Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (after initialization) bIsInitialized psRoot ulCount TRUE 0 BDT_init(); 26




Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (multi-node insert)



BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");

BDT_insert("a/b/c");


Part 1 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (second child)




BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");
BDT_insert("a/b/c");
BDT_insert ("a/b/d");



Part 1 – Error Statuses (duplicate, bad root, 3rd child)



Part 1 – Special Case: Promotion



BDT_init();
BDT_insert("a");
BDT_insert("a/b/c");
BDT_insert("a/b/d");

BDT_rm("a/b/c");

gcc217 -g -c dynarray.c gcc217 -g -c path.c gcc217 -g -c bdt_client.c gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtGood.o bdt_client.o -o bdtGood gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad1.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad1 gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad2.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad2 gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o bdtBad3.o bdt_client.o -o bdtBad3 gcc217m -g -c dynarray.c -o dynarrayM.o gcc217m -g -c path.c -o pathM.o gcc217m -g -c bdt_client.c -o bdt_clientM.o gcc217m -g dynarrayM.o pathM.o bdtBad4.o bdt_clientM.o -o bdtBad4 32

gcc217m –g dynarrayM.o pathM.o bdtBad5.o bdt_clientM.o –o bdtBad5

\$./bdtGood Checkpoint 1: 1root 1root/2child 1root/2child/3grandchild 1root/2second

Great! So... we need to implement the bdt.h API. No problem.

```
Part 1 – What to Do
```

Nope – we've done that for you!

\$ make



Part 1 – What to Do



OK, so what's there for us to do?



\$./bdtBad1

```
bdtBad1: bdt_client.c:24: main: Assertion
    `BDT_insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == INITIALIZATION_ERROR'
    failed.
```

Aborted (core dumped)





Ah. OK, no problem. Let's just take a look at bdtBad1.c and ...


\$ cat bdtBad1.c cat: bdtBad1.c: No such file or directory

\$ ls bdt*

21	
34	







Part 1 – What to Do



What you must do: debug.

- You do not have to identify the bug itself, only its location (function granularity).
- But, this must be the location of the underlying error, which is **not** necessarily where the error manifests itself or is "noticed" by the client.





Part 2 Simplifications

Simplification: no files – everything's a directory.

But now, trees of *arbitrary* branching factor are allowed.

So now we have Binary Directory Trees (DTs).

Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Node_T

New / repurposed code: nodeDT.h, dynarray.h and dynarray.c

```
Node definition:
```

```
typedef struct node *Node_T;
```

```
struct node {
```

```
/* this directory's absolute path*/
```

```
Path_T oPPath;
```

```
/* this node's parent, NULL for the root of the directory tree */
```

```
Node_T oNParent;
```

/* this directory's children (subdirectories) stored in sorted order by pathname */
DynArray_T oDChildren;

};

Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: DynArray_T

DynArrays implement dynamically resizable arrays

• We've implemented them for you. Correctly, even. Aren't we nice?

DynArray definition:

```
typedef struct DynArray *DynArray_T;
```

```
struct DynArray {
```


```
/* The number of elements in the DynArray from the client's point of view. */
```

```
size_t uLength;
```


```
/* The number of elements in the array that underlies the DynArray. */
size t uPhysLength;
```

```
/* The array that underlies the DynArray. */
const void **ppvArray; 
};
40
```

Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (initialize, insert)




Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (insert a child)




DT_init();
DT_insert("a");

DT_insert("a/b");

Part 2 – Behind the Scenes: Trace (insert 2nd child)



DT_init(); DT_insert("a"); DT_insert("a/b"); DT_insert("a/c");





Great! So ***now*** do we go implement the dt.h API?

```
Nope – we've done that for you! (Again.)
```

\$ make

45

- gcc217 -g -c dynarray.c
- gcc217 -g -c path.c
- gcc217 -g -c checkerDT.c
- gcc217 -g -c nodeDTGood.c
- gcc217 -g -c dtGood.c
- gcc217 -g -c dt_client.c
- gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTGood.o dtGood.o dt_client.o -o dtGood gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad1a.o dtBad1a.o dt_client.o -o dtBad1a gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad1b.o dtBad1b.o dt_client.o -o dtBad1b gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad2.o dtBad2.o dt_client.o -o dtBad2 gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad3.o dtBad3.o dt_client.o -o dtBad3 gcc217 -g dynarray.o path.o checkerDT.o nodeDTBad4.o dtBad4.o dt_client.o -o dtBad4



And there are still broken implementations!

\$./dtBad2

Aborted (core dumped)



Part 2 – What to Do: I did type step, not next, right?

Ah. Sigh. We'll just fire up gdb and ...

```
$ gdb dtBad2
```

```
(gdb) b 67
```

```
Breakpoint 1 at 0x4044c4: file dt_client.c, line 67.
```

```
(gdb) run
```

```
Breakpoint 1, main () at dt_client.c:67
```

```
67 assert(DT_insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == ALREADY_IN_TREE);
```

```
(gdb) step
```

```
dtBad2: dt_client.c:67: main: Assertion
    `DT_insert("1root/2child/3grandchild") == ALREADY_IN_TREE'
failed.
```

```
Program received signal SIGABRT, Aborted.
```





Ummm... why don't we see info about / why can't we step into these functions?

We didn't compile with "-g" to include debugging info.

Wait, you mean we don't get to see the source? That's cruel...

Sorry.

48

So then what do you expect us to do?



What you must do: write a checker for the data structure(s).

- Each mutator function calls CheckerDT_isValid before returning.
- checkerDT.c has the beginnings of an implementation for you to fill in, including a full tree traversal and a couple of demonstration check implementations:

\$./dtBad1a

```
Not initialized, but count is not 0
dtBad1a: dtBad1a.c:320: DT_destroy: Assertion
`CheckerDT_isValid(bIsInitialized, oNRoot, ulCount)' failed.
Aborted (core dumped)
```

\$./dtBad1b

P-C nodes don't have P-C paths: (1root) (1root/2child/3grandchild)
dtBad1b: nodeDTBad1b.c:165: Node_new: Assertion
`CheckerDT_Node_isValid(*poNResult)' failed.
Aborted (core dumped)

Part 2 – Step 2.5



Now examine our allegedly-good implementation in dtGood.c and nodeDTGood.c and contrast with how an A+ COS 217 student would write it. Write a critique.

- Pay special attention to the principles from the modularity lecture.
- Are the interfaces what you need?
- Do you see ways to make the implementation better? Less complex? More efficient? Clearer? More extensible? (Hint, hint.)



Part 3 Simplifications

Simplification: none.

Trees can now contain both directories and files.

• Files can't have children, but do have contents – a sequence of bytes of any size.

So now we have Directory File Trees (FTs).

Part 3 API



Summary of API in ft.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

• These functions are similar to what we had before:

<pre>int FT_init(void);</pre>	Sets the data structure to initialized status.
<pre>int FT_destroy(void);</pre>	Removes all contents and returns data structure to uninitialized status.
<pre>int FT_insertDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Inserts a new directory into the tree, if possible. (Like mkdir -p)
<pre>boolean FT_containsDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a directory with absolute path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_rmDir(const char* pcPath);</pre>	Removes the hierarchy rooted at directory pcPath. (Like rm –r)
<pre>char* FT_toString(void);</pre>	Returns a string representation of the data structure.

Part 3 API (cont.)



Summary of API in ft.h (but read it yourself for details, including error handling!)

• And these functions are new-ish:

<pre>int FT_insertFile(const char *pcPath, void *pvContents, size_t ulLength);</pre>	Inserts a new file with absolute path pcPath, with the given contents and given length.
<pre>boolean FT_containsFile(const char *pcPath);</pre>	Returns TRUE if the tree contains a file with absolute path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_rmFile(const char *pcPath);</pre>	Removes the file at absolute path pcPath.
<pre>int FT_stat(const char *pcPath, boolean *pbIsFile, size_t *pulSize);</pre>	Does pcPath exist in the hierarchy? If so, pass back whether it's a file & its length, if so.
<pre>void *FT_getFileContents(const char *pcPath);</pre>	Returns the contents of the file at abs. path pcPath.
<pre>void *FT_replaceFileContents(const char *pcPath, void *pvNewContents, size_t ulNewLength);</pre>	Replaces current contents of the file at abs. path pcPath with pvNewContents and returns the old contents.



OK, so what broken implementations have you got for us this time?

Good news: no broken code. The catch: a blank editor isn't technically broken code...

Great! We'll just quickly hack up dtGood.c from part 2...

Great! We'll sit back and watch while you create an impenetrable web of conflicting dependencies and broken contracts. Good luck spending the next 17 days continuously on gdb.

So then what do you expect us to do?





What you must do: design and write high-quality code for the interface in ft.h

- Think before you code
- Learn from the lessons in part 2.5 (note, though, that you don't have to fix everything!)
- Design the appropriate interfaces you'll need
- Compose a Makefile
- Write supporting modules
- Implement the FT interface
 - Likely borrowing ideas / code from dtGood.c
- Test your FT implementation
 - Definitely using ft_client.c
 - Possibly adding more tests that you think up (which you can verify against our sampleft.o)
 - Probably using ideas from your checkerDT (though you're not required to write one for FT)
- Critique your FT implementation

57

Partnered Assignment



For this assignment, you should partner with one (1) partner.


- Solo efforts are grudgingly acceptable, but strongly discouraged.
- Work together, mostly at the same time. We aren't as strict as COS 126, but it's not OK for you to each do some work, and then cat it together.
- You may work with anyone in the class not just from your own precept.
- To find a partner, hang out after precept / lecture, post on Ed, etc.





59

Your First COS217 Partnered Assignment



- A. Partnerships "generally working", Solo efforts "generally working"
- B. Partnerships "generally working", Solo efforts "complete disaster"
- C. Partnerships "complete disaster", Solo efforts "generally working"
- D. Partnerships "complete disaster", Solo efforts "complete disaster",

Partnerships' ratio is 11:1

Solo efforts' ratio is 1:1