

## Midterm exam

### STUDENT NAME

### Q1 Instructions and Pledge

1 Point

This exam consists of 5 multi-part questions (plus the pledge), and you have 60 minutes -- budget your time wisely.

This is a closed-book, closed-note exam, and "cheat sheets" are not allowed. During the exam you must not refer to the textbook, course materials, notes, or any information on the Internet. You may not compile or run any code on armlab or any other machine.

You are not allowed to communicate with any other person, whether inside or outside the class. You may not send the exam problems to anyone, nor receive them from anyone, nor communicate any information about the problems or their topics. *If you have technical issues or need to ask a clarifying question about the wording of some problem, please post a **private** message on Ed.*

You may use blank paper as scratch space, but you must enter your answer in the online system in order to receive credit.

This examination is administered under the Princeton University Honor Code, and by signing the pledge below you promise that you have adhered to the instructions above.

***Please type out the Honor Code pledge exactly as follows, including this exact spelling and punctuation:***

| *I pledge my honor that I have not violated the Honor Code during this examination.*

***Now type your name as a signature confirming that you have adhered to the Honor Code:***

Save Answer

## Q2 The problems just seem to multiply...

5 Points

We saw in class that the same addition and subtraction algorithms can be used for both unsigned and signed (two's complement) N-bit binary integers. The purpose of this question is to demonstrate an example of how this also holds for multiplication, provided that we truncate the result back to N bits. For this question, we will consider  $N = 3$ .

### Q2.1

1 Point

What are the **decimal** interpretations of the 3-bit binary *unsigned* integers  $110_B$  and  $111_B$ ?

- 2 and 3
- 3 and 4
- 4 and 5
- 5 and 6
- 6 and 7

Save Answer

### Q2.2

1 Point

When those two *unsigned* integers are multiplied, what is the **untruncated binary** result? (It might be easier to multiply in decimal and then convert to binary.)

- 110
- 1100
- 10100
- 11110
- 101010

Save Answer

### Q2.3

1 Point

Now, what would be the **unsigned 3-bit** result? (You may notice that the result is too big for 3 bits, and so it overflows and must be truncated.)

- 010
- 100
- 101
- 110
- 111

Save Answer

#### Q2.4

1 Point

What are the **decimal** interpretations of the 3-bit binary *two's complement signed* integers  $110_B$  and  $111_B$ ?

- 1 and 0
- 2 and -1
- 3 and -2
- 2 and -3
- 2 and 3

Save Answer

#### Q2.5

1 Point

When those two *signed* integers are multiplied, what is the **3-bit two's complement signed** result?

- 000
- 010
- 100
- 110
- 111

Save Answer

### Q3 What's past is prologue

5 Points

As we learned in class, the sizes of most C datatypes are not fixed by the language and can be machine-dependent. You've learned about the sizes on ARM64 machines, but the earliest implementation of C was on the PDP-11, with the following sizes:

Type	Size in Bytes
char	1
int	2
long	4
pointer	2
size_t	2

\* Technically speaking, `size_t` wasn't introduced into the C language until long after the days of the PDP-11, but let's suspend disbelief.

Given the above, what would be the value of each of the following expressions on the PDP-11 architecture?

### Q3.1

1 Point

`sizeof(42)`

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 8
- 42

Save Answer

### Q3.2

1 Point

`sizeof("42")`

**Hint:** `sizeof` applied to an array returns the size of the array, not the size of the pointer to the first element.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 8
- 42

Save Answer

### Q3.3

1 Point

```
sizeof(4 + 2 + 42L)
```

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 8
- 42

Save Answer

### Q3.4

1 Point

```
sizeof(sizeof(42))
```

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 8
- 42

Save Answer

### Q3.5

1 Point

```
sizeof(sizeof("42"))
```

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 8
- 42

Save Answer

## Q4 So many ways to get it wrong

5 Points

The following functions are each intended to print a C string. They all use the `putchar` standard library function to print characters to `stdout` -- it has the following signature:

```
int putchar(int c);
```

**Assume that `pc` is not `NULL`, and points to a correctly null-terminated string.** For each function, indicate whether it succeeds, or how it fails.

### Q4.1

1 Point

```
void fun1(const char *pc) {  
    while (*pc != '\0')  
        putchar(*pc); pc++;  
}
```

- Fails to compile.
- Enters an infinite loop.
- Undefined behavior, may crash with a segmentation fault.
- Runs and terminates, but produces incorrect output.
- Correctly prints to `stdout` the string pointed to by `pc`.

Save Answer

### Q4.2

1 Point

```
void fun2(const char *pc) {  
    while (*pc != '\0')
```

```
    putchar((*pc)++);  
}
```

- Fails to compile.
- Enters an infinite loop.
- Undefined behavior, may crash with a segmentation fault.
- Runs and terminates, but produces incorrect output.
- Correctly prints to `stdout` the string pointed to by `pc`.

Save Answer

### Q4.3

1 Point

```
void fun3(const char *pc) {  
    while (*pc != '\0')  
        putchar(*(pc++));  
}
```

- Fails to compile.
- Enters an infinite loop.
- Undefined behavior, may crash with a segmentation fault.
- Runs and terminates, but produces incorrect output.
- Correctly prints to `stdout` the string pointed to by `pc`.

Save Answer

### Q4.4

1 Point

```
void fun4(const char *pc) {  
    while (pc != '\0')  
        putchar(*(pc++));  
}
```

- Fails to compile.
- Enters an infinite loop.
- Undefined behavior, may crash with a segmentation fault.
- Runs and terminates, but produces incorrect output.
- Correctly prints to `stdout` the string pointed to by `pc`.

Save Answer

### Q4.5

1 Point

```
void fun5(const char *pc) {  
    while (*pc != '\0')  
        putchar(*(++pc));  
}
```

- Fails to compile.
- Enters an infinite loop.
- Undefined behavior, may crash with a segmentation fault.
- Runs and terminates, but produces incorrect output.
- Correctly prints to `stdout` the string pointed to by `pc`.

Save Answer

### Q5 Scrambled scrambler

3 Points

Consider the following function:

```
/* On input: strings str1 and str2 must be the same length.  
After execution: the strings pointed to by str1 and str2  
have been modified in-place such that chars at *even* indices  
(counting from 0) have been swapped between str1 and str2. */  
void scramble(char *str1, char *str2)  
{  
    /* Implementation goes here */  
}
```

Here are some examples of the effect of the function, showing the values of strings `str1` and `str2` before and after a call to `scramble(str1, str2)`:

str1 before	str2 before	str1 after	str2 after
"a"	"l"	"l"	"a"
"op"	"um"	"up"	"om"
"top"	"din"	"don"	"tip"



str1 before	str2 before	str1 after	str2 after
"fire"	"rock"	"rice"	"fork"

Your job is to determine the correct sequence of the following 9 lines of code (which are missing indentation in addition to being scrambled):

```

1  *str1 = *str2;
2  str1 += 2; str2 += 2;
3  }
4  if (*(str1 - 1) == '\0')
5  while (*str1 != '\0') {
6  char tmp = *str1;
7  assert(str1 != NULL); assert(str2 != NULL);
8  *str2 = tmp;
9  break;

```

Write the correct order in which those 9 lines of code should appear. Please **separate the numbers by commas, and include no extra punctuation or white space**. For example, if you thought that the statements were exactly reversed, you would write `9,8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1` meaning that line 9 should appear first, line 8 second, etc.

Order:

Save Answer

## Q6 ?desreverR

6 Points

Contemplate the following program:

```

1  #include <stdio.h>
2  #include <stdlib.h>

3  struct Node {
4      char c;
5      struct Node *next;
6  };

7  struct Node *read_it(void) {
8      struct Node *curr = NULL;
9      int c;
10     while ((c = getchar()) != EOF) {
11         struct Node *newnode = calloc(1, sizeof(struct Node));
12         newnode->c = c;
13         newnode->next = curr;

```

```

14     curr = newnode;
15 }
16 return curr;
17 }

18 void print_it(struct Node *curr) {
19     if (!curr)
20         return;
21     print_it(curr->next);
22     putchar(curr->c);
23 }

24 int main(void) {
25     print_it(read_it());
26     return EXIT_SUCCESS;
27 }

```

Recall that `getchar` returns a character read from `stdin`, or `EOF` on end of file, and that `calloc(n, s)` allocates storage for `n` elements of size `s` and fills the newly-allocated memory with zeros. **Assume that memory allocation always succeeds, and that the maximum recursion depth is never exceeded.**

### Q6.1

2 Points

What does the program do?

- Reads characters from `stdin` and then writes them to `stdout` in the order in which they were read
- Reads characters from `stdin` and then writes them to `stdout`, in reverse order
- Crashes on all inputs
- None of the above

Save Answer

### Q6.2

2 Points

Suppose you now wish to print characters in the opposite order (i.e., reversed if the code prints them in the original order, or in the original order if the code prints them reversed). Which of the following changes, if applied individually to the code without other modifications, would achieve this? Select all changes that would work. **Hint: this is subtle.** Trace through what happens on, say, a two-character input.

Swap lines 13 and 14

Replace lines 13 and 14 with `curr->next = newnode;` and `curr = curr->next;`

Swap lines 21 and 22

Replace lines 21 and 22 with `print_it(curr);` and `putchar(curr->next->c);`

Save Answer

### Q6.3

2 Points

The code as written has a memory leak. How could you fix it?

- Add `free(curr);` immediately above line 16
- Add `free(curr);` immediately above line 19
- Add `free(curr);` immediately above line 21
- Add `free(curr);` immediately above line 22
- Add `free(curr);` immediately above line 23
- Change `main` to save the return value of `read_it()`, then call `free` on that value after passing it to `print_it`
- None of the above will work

Save Answer

Save All Answers

Submit & View Submission >