COS320: Compiling Techniques

Zak Kincaid

February 14, 2019

- Reminder: HW1 due on Tuesday
- Office hour change: Qinshi's office hours will start at 3pm on Thursdays

Compiler phases (simplified)



Syntax-directed translation

· Compilation strategy in which syntax of the program drives code generation

- · Assembly code generated from AST, or even directly by the parser
- No substantial code analysis or transformation
- Example: Lecture 2 compiler

```
let run () =
let v_X = ref 0 in
let v_ANS = ref 0 in
v_X := 6;
WhileNZ (x) {
    ans := ans * x;
    x := x - 1
}
```

Syntax-directed translation

- · Compilation strategy in which syntax of the program drives code generation
 - Assembly code generated from AST, or even directly by the parser
 - No substantial code analysis or transformation
- Example: Lecture 2 compiler
- Easy to implement, but:
 - produces inefficient code
 - can be difficult to implement some language features (e.g., first-class functions)

Intermediate Representations

Separation of concerns

- · An IR breaks code generation up into two phases. Simpler & easier to implement
- Simplifies optimization
 - E.g., in optimization pass, we don't have to think about how code motion interacts w/ register use
- · Safety: IR can enforce maintenance of invariants (e.g. types)

Reusability



What makes a good IR?

- 1 Convenient to translate source language to IR
- 2 Convenient to generate assembly from IR
- **3** Convenient to manipulate IR during optimization

Varieties of IR

- In practice, compilers often use *several* IRs
 - + GCC: Source \rightarrow GENERIC \rightarrow GIMPLE \rightarrow RTL \rightarrow Target
- High-level
 - Preserves high-level structures, but may simplify (e.g., convert for to do/while) or elaborate
 - Some high-level optimizations (e.g., function inlining)
- Mid-level
 - "Abstract assembly language"
 - Still retains some high-level features (e.g., explicit functions, variables, structured data)
 - Machine-independent optimizations
- Low-level
 - Machine-dependent optimizations

A simple let-based IR

$$\underbrace{x = 2*(x + y) - (z * z)}_{x = 2*(x + y) - (z * z)} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \det tmp1 = x + y \\ \det tmp2 = 2 * tmp1 \\ \det tmp3 = z * z \\ \det tmp4 = tmp2 - tmp3 \\ x = tmp4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Makes evaluation order explicit (no nested expressions)
- 2 Names all intermediate values
- 3 Distinguish between variables & intermediate values
- Invariant: there is exactly one assignment to any temporary (warm-up to SSA)