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## 7. Network Flow II

## , bipartite matching

- disjoint paths
- extensions to max flow
- survey design
, airline scheduling
- image segmentation
- project selection
- baseball elimination


## Minimum cut application (RAND 1950s)

"Free world" goal. Cut supplies (if Cold War turns into real war).

rail network connecting Soviet Union with Eastern European countries (map declassified by Pentagon in 1999)

## Max-flow and min-cut applications

Max-flow and min-cut problems are widely applicable model.

- Data mining.
- Open-pit mining.
- Bipartite matching.
- Network reliability.
- Baseball elimination.
- Image segmentation.
- Network connectivity.
- Markov random fields.

- Distributed computing
- Security of statistical data.
- Egalitarian stable matching.
- Network intrusion detection.
- Multi-camera scene reconstruction.
- Sensor placement for homeland security.
- Many, many, more.


## Matching
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Def. Given an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$, subset of edges $M \subseteq E$
is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in $M$.

Max matching. Given a graph $G$, find a max-cardinality matching.


## Bipartite matching: max-flow formulation

- Create digraph $G^{\prime}=\left(L \cup R \cup\{s, t\}, E^{\prime}\right)$.
- Direct all edges from $L$ to $R$, and assign infinite (or unit) capacity.
- Add unit-capacity edges from $s$ to each node in $L$.
- Add unit-capacity edges from each node in $R$ to $t$.



## Max-flow formulation: proof of correctness

Theorem. Max cardinality of a matching in $G=$ value of max flow in $G^{\prime}$.
Pf. $\leq$

- Given a max matching $M$ of cardinality $k$.
- Consider flow $f$ that sends 1 unit on each of the $k$ corresponding paths.
- $f$ is a flow of value $k$. -
G




## Max-flow formulation: proof of correctness

Theorem. Max cardinality of a matching in $G=$ value of max flow in $G^{\prime}$. Pf. $\geq$

- Let $f$ be a max flow in $G^{\prime}$ and let $k$ denote its value.
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ is integral and can assume $f$ is $0-1$.
- Consider $M=$ set of edges from $L$ to $R$ with $f(e)=1$.
- each node in $L$ and $R$ participates in at most one edge in $M$
- $|M|=k$ : apply flow-value lemma to cut $(L \cup\{s\}, R \cup\{t\})$ -



## Network flow II: quiz 1

What is running time of Ford-Fulkerson algorithms to find a maximum matching in a bipartite graph with $|L|=|R|=n$ ?
A. $O(m+n)$
B. $O(m n)$
C. $O\left(m n^{2}\right)$
D. $O\left(m^{2} n\right)$

## Perfect matchings in bipartite graphs

Def. Given a graph $G=(V, E)$, a subset of edges $M \subseteq E$ is a perfect matching if each node appears in exactly one edge in $M$.
Q. When does a bipartite graph have a perfect matching?

Structure of bipartite graphs with perfect matchings.

- Clearly, we must have $|L|=|R|$.
- Which other conditions are necessary?
- Which other conditions are sufficient?


## Perfect matchings in bipartite graphs

Notation. Let $S$ be a subset of nodes, and let $N(S)$ be the set of nodes adjacent to nodes in $S$.

Observation. If a bipartite graph $G=(L \cup R, E)$ has a perfect matching, then $|N(S)| \geq|S|$ for all subsets $S \subseteq L$.
Pf. Each node in $S$ has to be matched to a different node in $N(S)$. -


## Hall's marriage theorem

Pf. $\Leftarrow$ Suppose $G$ does not have a perfect matching.

- Formulate as a max-flow problem and let $(A, B)$ be a min cut in $G^{\prime}$.
- By max-flow min-cut theorem, $\operatorname{cap}(A, B)<|L|$.
- Define $L_{A}=L \cap A, L_{B}=L \cap B, R_{A}=R \cap A$.
- $\operatorname{cap}(A, B)=\left|L_{B}\right|+\left|R_{A}\right| \Rightarrow\left|R_{A}\right|<\left|L_{A}\right|$.
- Min cut can't use $\infty$ edges $\Rightarrow N\left(L_{A}\right) \subseteq R_{A}$.
- $\left|N\left(L_{A}\right)\right| \leq\left|R_{A}\right|<\left|L_{A}\right|$.



## Hall's marriage theorem

Theorem. [Frobenius 1917, Hall 1935] Let $G=(L \cup R, E)$ be a bipartite graph with $|L|=|R|$. Then, graph $G$ has a perfect matching iff $|N(S)| \geq|S|$ for all subsets $S \subseteq L$.

Pf. $\Rightarrow$ This was the previous observation.


$$
S=\{2,4,5\}
$$

$$
N(S)=\left\{2^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right\}
$$


no perfect matching

## Bipartite matching

Problem. Given a bipartite graph, find a max-cardinality matching.

| year | worst case | technique | discovered by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | $O(m n)$ | augmenting path | Ford-Fulkerson |
| 1973 | $O\left(m n^{1 / 2}\right)$ | blocking flow | Hopcroft-Karp, Karzanov |
| 2004 | $O\left(n^{2.378}\right)$ | fast matrix multiplication | Mucha-Sankowsi |
| 2013 | $\tilde{O}\left(m^{10 / 7}\right)$ | electrical flow | Mądry |
| $20 x x$ | $? ?$ |  |  |

running time for finding a max-cardinality matching in a bipartite graph with $\mathbf{n}$ nodes and $\mathbf{m}$ edges

## Network flow II: quiz 2

Which of the following are properties of the graph $G=(V, E)$ ?
A. $G$ has a perfect matching.
B. Hall's condition is satisfied: $|N(S)| \geq|S|$ for all subsets $S \subseteq V$.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.


## Nonbipartite matching

Problem. Given an undirected graph, find a max-cardinality matching.

- Structure of nonbipartite graphs is more complicated.
- But well understood.
[Tutte-Berge formula, Edmonds-Galai]
Blossom algorithm: $O\left(n^{4}\right)$.
[Edmonds 1965]
- Best known: $O\left(m n^{1 / 2}\right)$.
[Micali-Vazirani 1980, Vazirani 1994]


## and flower

jack edmonds


viay v. vatirani


Historical significance (Jack Edmonds 1965)
2. Digression. An explanation is due on the use of the words "efficient algorithm." First, what I present is a conceptual description of an algorithm and not a particular formalized algorithm or "code."

For practical purposes computational details are vital. However, my purpose is only to show as attractively as I can that there is an efficient algorithm. According to the dictionary, "efficient" means "adequate in operation or performance." This is roughly the meaning I want-in the sense that it is conceivable for maximum matching to have no efficient algorithm. Perhaps a better word is "good."

I am claiming, as a mathematical result, the existence of a good algorithm for finding a maximum cardinality matching in a graph.

There is an obvious finite algorithm, but that algorithm increases in difficulty exponentially with the size of the graph. It is by no means obvious whether or not there exists an algorithm whose difficulty increases only algebraically with the size of the graph.

## HACKATHON PROBLEM

## Ex $\times 1$

## Hackathon problem.

- Hackathon attended by $n$ Harvard students and $n$ Princeton students.
- Each Harvard student is friends with exactly $k>0$ Princeton students; each Princeton student is friends with exactly $k$ Harvard students.
- Is it possible to arrange the hackathon so that each Princeton student pair programs with a different friend from Harvard?

2-regular bipartite graph
Mathematical reformulation. Does every $k$-regular bipartite graph have a perfect matching?

Ex. Boolean hypercube.
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## Edge-disjoint paths

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Edge-disjoint paths problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths.

Ex. Communication networks.


## Edge-disjoint paths

Max-flow formulation. Assign unit capacity to every edge.

Theorem. Max number of edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths = value of max flow. Pf. $\leq$

- Suppose there are $k$ edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$.
- Set $f(e)=1$ if $e$ participates in some path $P_{j}$; else set $f(e)=0$.
- Since paths are edge-disjoint, $f$ is a flow of value $k$. -



## Edge-disjoint paths

Max-flow formulation. Assign unit capacity to every edge.

Theorem. Max number of edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths = value of max flow.
Pf. $\geq$

- Suppose max flow value is $k$.
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow$ there exists $0-1$ flow $f$ of value $k$.
- Consider edge $(s, u)$ with $f(s, u)=1$.
- by flow conservation, there exists an edge $(u, v)$ with $f(u, v)=1$
- continue until reach $t$, always choosing a new edge
- Produces $k$ (not necessarily simple) edge-disjoint paths. -



## Menger's theorem

Theorem. [Menger 1927] The max number of edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths equals the min number of edges whose removal disconnects $t$ from $s$.

Pf. $\leq$

- Suppose the removal of $F \subseteq E$ disconnects $t$ from $s$, and $|F|=k$.
- Every $s \rightarrow t$ path uses at least one edge in $F$.
- Hence, the number of edge-disjoint paths is $\leq k$.



## Network connectivity

Def. A set of edges $F \subseteq E$ disconnects $t$ from $s$ if every $s \rightarrow t$ path uses at least one edge in $F$.

Network connectivity. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find min number of edges whose removal disconnects $t$ from $s$.


## Menger's theorem

Theorem. [Menger 1927] The max number of edge-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths equals the min number of edges whose removal disconnects $t$ from $s$.

Pf. $\geq$

- Suppose max number of edge-disjoint paths is $k$.
- Then value of max flow $=k$.
- Max-flow min-cut theorem $\Rightarrow$ there exists a cut $(A, B)$ of capacity $k$.
- Let $F$ be set of edges going from $A$ to $B$.
- $|F|=k$ and disconnects $t$ from $s$.



## Network flow II: quiz 3

How to find the max number of edge-disjoint paths in an undirected graph?
A. Solve the edge-disjoint paths problem in a digraph (by replacing each undirected edge with two antiparallel edges).
B. Solve a max flow problem in an undirected graph.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.

## Edge-disjoint paths in undirected graphs

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Edge-disjoint paths problem in undirected graphs. Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s-t$ paths.


## Edge-disjoint paths in undirected graphs

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Edge-disjoint paths problem in undirected graphs. Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s-t$ paths.


## Edge-disjoint paths in undirected graphs

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Edge-disjoint paths problem in undirected graphs. Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s-t$ paths.


## Edge-disjoint paths in undirected graphs

Max-flow formulation. Replace each edge with two antiparallel edges and assign unit capacity to every edge.

Observation. Two paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ may be edge-disjoint in the digraph but not edge-disjoint in the undirected graph.
if $P_{1}$ uses edge $(u, v)$
and $P_{2}$ uses its antiparallel edge ( $\left.v, u\right)$

## Edge-disjoint paths in undirected graphs

Max-flow formulation. Replace each edge with two antiparallel edges and assign unit capacity to every edge.

Lemma. In any flow network, there exists a maximum flow $f$ in which for each pair of antiparallel edges $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ : either $f(e)=0$ or $f\left(e^{\prime}\right)=0$ or both. Moreover, integrality theorem still holds.
Pf. [ by induction on number of such pairs ]

- Suppose $f(e)>0$ and $f\left(e^{\prime}\right)>0$ for a pair of antiparallel edges $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.
- Set $f(e)=f(e)-\delta$ and $f\left(e^{\prime}\right)=f\left(e^{\prime}\right)-\delta$, where $\delta=\min \left\{f(e), f\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.
- $f$ is still a flow of the same value but has one fewer such pair. -



## More Menger theorems

Theorem. Given an undirected graph and two nodes $s$ and $t$,
the max number of edge-disjoint $s-t$ paths equals the min number of edges whose removal disconnects $s$ and $t$.

Theorem. Given an undirected graph and two nonadjacent nodes $s$ and $t$, the max number of internally node-disjoint $s$ - $t$ paths equals the min number of internal nodes whose removal disconnects $s$ and $t$.

Theorem. Given a directed graph with two nonadjacent nodes $s$ and $t$, the max number of internally node-disjoint $s \rightarrow t$ paths equals the min number of internal nodes whose removal disconnects $t$ from $s$.

Zur allgemeinen Kurventheorie.
von
Karl Menger (Amsterdam).
Einleitang.

1. Übrer die Bedeatung der Ordnungsahh von Kurvenpunkten.
i. Über
i.
II. tober die Punkte unendicher Ordinung.
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## Network flow II: quiz 4

## Which extensions to max flow can be easily modeled?

A. Multiple sources and multiple sinks.
B. Undirected graphs.
C. Lower bounds on edge flows.
D. All of the above.

## Multiple sources and sinks

Def. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with edge capacities $c(e) \geq 0$ and multiple source nodes and multiple sink nodes, find max flow that can be sent from the source nodes to the sink nodes.

## Multiple sources and sinks: max-flow formulation

- Add a new source node $s$ and $\operatorname{sink}$ node $t$.
- For each original source node $s_{i}$ add edge ( $s, s_{i}$ ) with capacity $\infty$.
- For each original sink node $t_{j}$, add edge $\left(t_{j}, t\right)$ with capacity $\infty$.

Claim. 1-1 correspondence betweens flows in $G$ and $G^{\prime}$.
flow network $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$
 9
 6
 ${ }^{\infty}$



flow network G

## Circulation with supplies and demands

Def. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with edge capacities $c(e) \geq 0$ and node demands $d(v)$, a circulation is a function $f(e)$ that satisfies:

| - For each $e \in E:$ | $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ | (capacity) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - For each $v \in V:$ | $\sum_{e \text { in to } v} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)=d(v)$ | (flow conservation) |



## Circulation with supplies and demands

Integrality theorem. If all capacities and demands are integers, and there exists a circulation, then there exists one that is integer-valued.

Pf. Follows from max-flow formulation + integrality theorem for max flow.

Theorem. Given $(V, E, c, d)$, there does not exist a circulation iff there exists a node partition $(A, B)$ such that $\Sigma_{v \in B} d(v)>\operatorname{cap}(A, B)$.

Pf sketch. Look at min cut in $G^{\prime}$
demand by nodes in $B$ exceeds supply of nodes in $B$ plus max capacity of edges going from $A$ to $B$

## Circulation with supplies and demands: max-flow formulation

- Add new source $s$ and sink $t$.
- For each $v$ with $d(v)<0$, add edge $(s, v)$ with capacity $-d(v)$.
- For each $v$ with $d(v)>0$, add edge $(v, t)$ with capacity $d(v)$.

Claim. $G$ has circulation iff $G^{\prime}$ has max flow of value $D=\sum_{v: d(v)>0} d(v)=\sum_{v: d(v)<0}-d(v)$


## Circulation with supplies, demands, and lower bounds

Def. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with edge capacities $c(e) \geq 0$, lower bounds $\ell(e) \geq 0$, and node demands $d(v)$, a circulation $f(e)$ is a function that satisfies:

- For each $e \in E: \quad \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq c(e) \quad$ (capacity)
- For each $v \in V: \sum_{e \text { in to } v} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)=d(v)$ (flow conservation)

Circulation problem with lower bounds. Given ( $V, E, \ell, c, d$ ), does there exist a feasible circulation?

## Circulation with supplies, demands, and lower bounds

Max-flow formulation. Model lower bounds as circulation with demands.

- Send $\ell(e)$ units of flow along edge $e$.
- Update demands of both endpoints.


Theorem. There exists a circulation in $G$ iff there exists a circulation in $G^{\prime}$. Moreover, if all demands, capacities, and lower bounds in $G$ are integers, then there exists a circulation in $G$ that is integer-valued.

Pf sketch. $f(e)$ is a circulation in $G$ iff $f^{\prime}(e)=f(e)-\ell(e)$ is a circulation in $G^{\prime}$.

## Survey design

- Design survey asking $n_{1}$ consumers about $n_{2}$ products. $\qquad$ one survey question
- Can survey consumer $i$ about product $j$ only if they own it.
- Ask consumer $i$ between $c_{i}$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}$ questions.
- Ask between $p_{j}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime}$ consumers about product $j$.

Goal. Design a survey that meets these specs, if possible.

Bipartite perfect matching. Special case when $c_{i}=c_{i}^{\prime}=p_{j}=p_{j}^{\prime}=1$.
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## Survey design

Max-flow formulation. Model as a circulation problem with lower bounds.

- Add edge ( $i, j$ ) if consumer $j$ owns product $i$.
- Add edge from $s$ to consumer $j$.
- Add edge from product $i$ to $t$.
- Add edge from $t$ to $s$.
- All demands $=0$.
- Integer circulation $\Leftrightarrow$ feasible survey design



Section 7.9

## 7. Network Flow II

- bipartite matching
- disjoint paths
- extensions to max flow
- survey design
- airline scheduling
- image segmentation
- project selection
- baseball elimination

Airline scheduling.

- Complex computational problem faced by airline carriers.
- Must produce schedules that are efficient in terms of equipment usage, crew allocation, and customer satisfaction. - One of largest consumers of high-powered $\begin{gathered}\text { unpredictable events, such a } \\ \text { weather and breakdowns }\end{gathered}$ - even in presence of algorithmic techniques.
"Toy problem."
- Manage flight crews by reusing them over multiple flights.
- Input: set of $k$ flights for a given day.
- Flight $i$ leaves origin $o_{i}$ at time $s_{i}$ and arrives at destination $d_{i}$ at time $f_{i}$.
- Minimize number of flight crews.



## Airline scheduling: running time

Theorem. The airline scheduling problem can be solved in $O\left(k^{3} \log k\right)$ time. Pf.

- $k=$ number of flights.
- $c=$ number of crews (unknown).
- $O(k)$ nodes, $O\left(k^{2}\right)$ edges.
- At most $k$ crews needed.
$\Rightarrow$ solve $\lg k$ circulation problems. $\longleftarrow$ binary search for optimal value $c^{*}$
- Value of the flow is between 0 and $k$.
$\Rightarrow$ at most $k$ augmentations per circulation problem.
- Overall time $=O\left(k^{3} \log k\right)$.

Remark. Can solve in $O\left(k^{3}\right)$ time by formulating as minimum flow problem.

Remark. We solved a toy problem.

Real-world problem models countless other factors

- Union regulations: e.g., flight crews can only fly certain number of hours in given interval.
- Need optimal schedule over planning horizon, not just one day.
- Deadheading has a cost.
- Flights don't always leave or arrive on schedule.
- Simultaneously optimize both flight schedule and fare structure.

Message.

- Our solution is a generally useful technique for efficient reuse of limited resources but trivializes real airline scheduling problem.
- Flow techniques useful for solving airline scheduling problems (and are widely used in practice).
- Running an airline efficiently is a very difficult problem.


## Image segmentation

Image segmentation.

- Divide image into coherent regions.
- Central problem in image processing.

Ex. Separate human and robot from background scene.
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## Image segmentation

Foreground / background segmentation.

- Label each pixel in picture as belonging to foreground or background.
- $V=$ set of pixels, $E=$ pairs of neighboring pixels.
- $a_{i} \geq 0$ is likelihood pixel $i$ in foreground.
- $b_{i} \geq 0$ is likelihood pixel $i$ in background.
- $p_{i j} \geq 0$ is separation penalty for labeling one of $i$ and $j$ as foreground, and the other as background.



## Goals.

- Accuracy: if $a_{i}>b_{i}$ in isolation, prefer to label $i$ in foreground.
- Smoothness: if many neighbors of $i$ are labeled foreground, we should be inclined to label $i$ as foreground.
- Find partition $(A, B)$ that maximizes: $\underset{\text { foreground }}{\AA} \underset{\text { background }}{A}$

$$
\sum_{i \in A} a_{i}+\sum_{j \in B} b_{j}-\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in E \\|A \cap\{i, j\}|=1}} p_{i j}
$$

## Image segmentation

Formulate as min-cut problem.

- Maximization.
- No source or sink.
- Undirected graph.

Turn into minimization problem.

- Maximizing $\sum_{i \in A} a_{i}+\sum_{j \in B} b_{j}-\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in E \\|A \cap\{i, j\}|=1}} p_{i j}$
- is equivalent to minimizing

- or alternatively $\sum_{j \in B} a_{j}+\sum_{i \in A} b_{i}+\sum_{(i, j) \in E} p_{i j}$


## Image segmentation

Consider min cut $(A, B)$ in $G^{\prime}$.

- $A=$ foreground.

$$
\operatorname{cap}(A, B)=\sum_{j \in B} a_{j}+\sum_{i \in A} b_{i}+\sum_{\substack{(i, j) \in E \\ i \in A, j \in B}} p_{i j} \underset{\substack{\text { if } i \text { and } j \text { on different sides, } \\ p_{i j} \text { counted exactly once }}}{ }
$$

- Precisely the quantity we want to minimize



## Image segmentation

Formulate as min-cut problem $G^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$.

- Include node for each pixel.
- Use two antiparallel edges instead of undirected edge.
- Add source $s$ to correspond to foreground.
- Add sink $t$ to correspond to background.
edge in G

$$
\bigcirc-p_{i j} \longrightarrow
$$

two antiparallel edges in $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$


## Grabcut image segmentation

Grabcut. [ Rother-Kolmogorov-Blake 2004 ]
"GrabCut" - Interactive Foreground Extraction using Iterated Graph Cuts

$$
\text { Carsten Rother* } \quad \text { Vladimir Kolmogorov* }
$$

Andrew Blake
Microsoft Research Cambridge,


Figure 1: Three examples of GrabCut. The user drags a rectangle loosely around an object. The object is then extracted automatically.
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## Project selection: prerequisite graph

Prerequisite graph. Add edge $(v, w)$ if $w$ is a prerequisite for $v$.

$\{v, w, x\}$ is feasible

$\{v, x\}$ is infeasible

## Project selection (maximum weight closure problem)

Projects with prerequisites.
or negative

- Set of prerequisites $E:(v, w) \in E$ means $w$ is a prerequisite for $v$.
- A subset of projects $A \subseteq P$ is feasible if the prerequisite of every project in $A$ also belongs to $A$.

Project selection problem. Given a set of projects $P$ and prerequisites $E$, choose a feasible subset of projects to maximize revenue.

```
Myyyy
            MAXIMAL CLOSURE OF A GRAPH AND
        APPLICATIONS TO COMBINATORIAL
            PROBLEMS* }
            EAN-Claude PICARD
    M
    M,
    l
```


## Project selection: min-cut formulation

Min-cut formulation

- Assign a capacity of $\infty$ to each prerequisite edge.
- Add edge $(s, v)$ with capacity $p_{v}$ if $p_{v}>0$.
- Add edge $(v, t)$ with capacity $-p_{v}$ if $p_{v}<0$.
- For notational convenience, define $p_{s}=p_{t}=0$.



## Project selection: min-cut formulation

Claim. $(A, B)$ is min cut iff $A-\{s\}$ is an optimal set of projects.

- Infinite capacity edges ensure $A-\{s\}$ is feasible.
- Max revenue because: $\operatorname{cap}(A, B)=\sum_{v \in B: p_{v}>0} p_{v}+\sum_{v \in A: p_{v}<0}\left(-p_{v}\right)$

$$
=\sum_{\text {a constant }} p_{v: p_{v}>0} p_{v}-\sum_{v \in A} p_{v}
$$




Baseball elimination

## Open-pit mining

Open-pit mining. [studied since early 1960s]

- Blocks of earth are extracted from surface to retrieve ore.
- Each block $v$ has net value $p_{v}=$ value of ore - processing cost.
- Can't remove block $v$ until both blocks $w$ and $x$ are removed.
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## Baseball elimination problem

Q. Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

| i | team | wins | losses | to play | ATL | PHI | NYM | MON |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Atlanta | 83 | 71 | 8 | - | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 1 | A | Philly | 80 | 79 | 3 | 1 | - | 0 | 2 |
| 2 |  | New York | 78 | 78 | 6 | 6 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 3 |  | Montreal | 77 | 82 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - |

Montreal is mathematically eliminated.

- Montreal finishes with $\leq 80$ wins.
- Atlanta already has 83 wins.

Remark. This is the only reason sports writers appear to be aware of conditions are sufficient but not necessary!

## Baseball elimination problem

Current standings.

- Set of teams $S$.
- Distinguished team $z \in S$.
- Team $x$ has won $w_{x}$ games already.
- Teams $x$ and $y$ play each other $r_{x y}$ additional times.

Baseball elimination problem. Given the current standings, is there any outcome of the remaining games in which team $z$ finishes with the most (or tied for the most) wins?


```
ossible winners in partially completed tournaments
    benjamin l. schwartz+
M. Introduction. In this paper, we shall investigate certain questions in tourna-
M
l
M natt possibility; it may be in ontention, or it may have clinched the champion
lol
```


## Baseball elimination problem

Q. Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

| i | team | wins | losses | to play | ATL | PHI | NYM | MON |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Atlanta | 83 | 71 | 8 | - | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 1 | Philly | 80 | 79 | 3 | 1 | - | 0 | 2 |  |
| 2 |  | New York | 78 | 78 | 6 | 6 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 3 |  | Montreal | 77 | 82 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - |

Philadelphia is mathematically eliminated.

- Philadelphia finishes with $\leq 83$ wins.
- Either New York or Atlanta will finish with $\geq 84$ wins.

Observation. Answer depends not only on how many games already won and left to play, but on whom they're against.

## Baseball elimination problem: max-flow formulation

Can team 4 finish with most wins?

- Assume team 4 wins all remaining games $\Rightarrow w_{4}+r_{4}$ wins.
- Divvy remaining games so that all teams have $\leq w_{4}+r_{4}$ wins.



## Baseball elimination problem: max-flow formulation

Theorem. Team 4 not eliminated iff max flow saturates all edges leaving $s$. Pf.

- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow$ each remaining game between $x$ and $y$ added to number of wins for team $x$ or team $y$.
- Capacity on $(x, t)$ edges ensure no team wins too many games.



## Baseball elimination: explanation for sports writers

Certificate of elimination.

$$
T \subseteq S, \quad w(T):=\overbrace{\sum_{i \in T} w_{i}}^{\# \text { wins }}, g(T):=\overbrace{\sum_{\{x, y\} \subseteq T} g_{x y}}^{\# \text { remaining games }},
$$

Theorem. [Hoffman-Rivlin 1967] Team $z$ is eliminated iff there exists a subset $T^{*}$ such that

$$
w_{z}+g_{z}<\frac{w\left(T^{*}\right)+g\left(T^{*}\right)}{\left|T^{*}\right|}
$$

Pf. $\Leftarrow$

- Suppose there exists $T^{*} \subseteq S$ such that $w_{z}+g_{z}<\frac{w\left(T^{*}\right)+g\left(T^{*}\right)}{\mid T^{* \mid}}$.
- Then, the teams in $T^{*}$ win at least $\left(w\left(T^{*}\right)+g\left(T^{*}\right)\right) /\left|T^{*}\right|$ games on average.
- This exceeds the maximum number that team $z$ can win.


## Baseball elimination: explanation for sports writers

Q. Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

| i | team | wins | losses | to play | NYY | BAL | BOS | TOR | DET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | New York | 75 | 59 | 28 | - | 3 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| 1 | Baltimore | 71 | 63 | 28 | 3 | - | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| 2 | Boston | 69 | 66 | 27 | 8 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Toronto | 63 | 72 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 4 | Detroit | 49 | 86 | 27 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - |

Detroit is mathematically eliminated.

- Detroit finishes with $\leq 76$ wins.
- Wins for $R=\{$ NYY, BAL, BOS, TOR $\}=278$.
- Remaining games among $\{$ NYY, BAL, BOS, TOR $\}=3+8+7+2+7=27$.
- Average team in $R$ wins $305 / 4=76.25$ games.


## Baseball elimination: explanation for sports writers

Pf. $\Rightarrow$

- Use max-flow formulation, and consider min cut $(A, B)$.
- Let $T^{*}=$ team nodes on source side $A$ of min cut.
- Observe that game node $x-y \in A$ iff both $x \in T^{*}$ and $y \in T^{*}$.
- infinite capacity edges ensure if $x-y \in A$, then both $x \in A$ and $y \in A$
- if $x \in A$ and $y \in A$ but $x-y \notin A$, then adding $x-y$ to $A$ decreases the capacity of the cut by $g_{x y}$



## Baseball elimination: explanation for sports writers

Pf. $\Rightarrow$

- Use max-flow formulation, and consider min cut $(A, B)$.
- Let $T^{*}=$ team nodes on source side $A$ of min cut.
- Observe that game node $x-y \in A$ iff both $x \in T^{*}$ and $y \in T^{*}$.
- Since team $z$ is eliminated, by max-flow min-cut theorem,
$g(S-\{z\})>\operatorname{cap}(A, B)$
capacity of game edges leaving s capacity of team edges entering t
$=\overbrace{g(S-\{z\})-g\left(T^{*}\right)}+\overbrace{\sum_{x \in T^{*}}\left(w_{z}+g_{z}-w_{x}\right)}$
$=g(S-\{z\})-g\left(T^{*}\right)-w\left(T^{*}\right)+\left|T^{*}\right|\left(w_{z}+g_{z}\right)$
- Rearranging terms: $w_{z}+g_{z}<\frac{w\left(T^{*}\right)+g\left(T^{*}\right)}{\left|T^{*}\right|}$.

