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## Running time

" As soon as an Analytical Engine exists, it will necessarily guide the future course of the science. Whenever any result is sought by its aid, the question will then arise-By what course of calculation can these results be arrived at by the machine in the shortest time? " - Charles Babbage (1864)


Running time

Concerns about running time preceded actual working computers by almost a century!

## One of the early achievements of computer science

The ability to estimate and bound the running time of a piece of code as a function of the size of the input without seeing the actual input data and only minimal knowledge of the system it will run on

Required device for lecture.

- Use default frequency AA.
- Available at Labyrinth Books (\$25).
- You must register your irclicker in Blackboard.

Is this just a plot to get you to buy more devices? Let's find out!
(sorry, insufficient WiFi in this room to support irclicker GO)

Which model of irclicker are you using?
A. irclicker.
B. irclicker+.
C. irclicker 2.
D. All of the above
E. I am a conscientious objector
F. I feel constrained by the limited choices in this poll
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## Reasons to analyze algorithms



Primary practical reason: avoid performance bugs.

client gets poor performance because programmer did not understand performance characteristics


## Efficient algorithms enable new discoveries

n-body simulation.

- Simulate gravitational interactions among $n$ bodies.
- Applications: cosmology, fluid dynamics, semiconductors, ...
- Brute force: $n^{2}$ steps.
- Barnes-Hut algorithm: $n \log n$ steps, enables new research.


Andrew Appel PU '81



## Efficient algorithms enable new products

## Discrete Fourier transform.

- Express signal as weighted sum of sines and cosines.
- Applications: DVD, JPEG, MRI, astrophysics, ....
- Brute force: $n^{2}$ steps.
- FFT algorithm: $n \log n$ steps, enables new technology.


John Tukey



Scientific method applied to the analysis of algorithms
A framework for predicting performance and comparing algorithms.

## Scientific method.

- Observe some feature of the natural world.
- Hypothesize a model that is consistent with the observations.
- Predict events using the hypothesis.
- Verify the predictions by making further observations.

- Validate by repeating until the hypothesis and observations agree.

Principles.

- Experiments must be reproducible.
- Hypotheses must be falsifiable.

Feature of the natural world. Computer itself.


John Stuart Mills
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## Example: 3-SUM

3-Sum. Given $n$ distinct integers, how many triples sum to exactly zero?


Context. Deeply related to problems in computational geometry.

## 3-SUM: brute-force algorithm

```
public static int count(int[] a)
{
        int n = a.length;
        int count = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
            for (int j = i+1; j < n; j++)
                for (int k = j+1; k < n; k++)
                        if (a[i] + a[j] + a[k] == 0)
                        count++;
        return count;
}
```

Ignore integer overflow in computing $a[i]+a[j]+a[k]$

## Measuring the running time

## Q. How to time a program? <br> A. Manual.

\% java ThreeSum 1Kints.txt

tick tick tick

70
\% java ThreeSum 2Kints.txt

tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick

528
\% java ThreeSum 4Kints.txt

tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick

## Measuring the running time

Q. How to time a program?
A. Automatic.


## Empirical analysis

Run the program for various input sizes and measure running time.
\%

## Empirical analysis

Run the program for various input sizes and measure running time.

| $n$ | time (seconds) $t$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 250 | 0 |
| 500 | 0 |
| 1,000 | 0.1 |
| 2,000 | 0.8 |
| 4,000 | 6.4 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 16,000 | $?$ |

[^0]
## Data analysis

Standard plot. Plot running time $T(n)$ vs. input size $n$.


## Data analysis

Log-log plot. Plot running time $T(n)$ vs. input size $n$ using log-log scale. log-log plot


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(n)=a n^{b} \\
& \lg (T(n))=b \lg n+\lg (a) \\
& \text { Slope }=b \\
& \text { y-intercept }=\lg (a) \\
& \text { Hypothesis. The running time is } \\
& \sim 1.006 \times 10^{-10} \times n^{2.999} \text { seconds. } \\
& l g=\text { base } 2 \text { logarithm }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prediction and validation

Hypothesis. The running time is about $1.006 \times 10^{-10} \times n^{2.999}$ seconds.
"order of growth" of running
Predictions. time is about $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ [stay tuned]

- 51.0 seconds for $n=8,000$.
- 408.1 seconds for $n=16,000$.

Observations.

| $n$ | time (seconds) + |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 8,000 | 51 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 16,000 | 410.8 |

validates hypothesis!

## Doubling hypothesis

Doubling hypothesis. Quick way to estimate $b$ in a power-law relationship.

Run program, doubling the size of the input.

| n | time (seconds) $\dagger$ | ratio | Ig ratio | $T(N)=a N^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | 0 |  | - | $\overline{T(N / 2)}=\overline{a(N / 2)^{b}}$ |
| 500 | 0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | $=2^{b}$ |
| 1,000 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.8 |  |
| 2,000 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 2.9 |  |
| 4,000 | 6.4 | 8 | 3 | $\lg (6.4 / 0.8)=3.0$ |
| 8,000 | 51.1 | 8 | 3 |  |

Hypothesis. Running time is about $a n^{b}$ with $b=\lg$ ratio.
Caveat. Cannot identify logarithmic factors with doubling hypothesis.

## Doubling hypothesis

Doubling hypothesis. Quick way to estimate $b$ in a power-law relationship.
Q. How to estimate $a$ (assuming we know $b$ ) ?
A. Run the program (for a sufficient large value of $n$ ) and solve for $a$.

| $n$ | time (seconds) $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |  |
| 8,000 | 51 | $51.1=a \times 8000^{3}$ |
| 8,000 | 51.1 | $\Rightarrow a=0.998 \times 10^{-10}$ |

Hypothesis. Running time is about $0.998 \times 10^{-10} \times \mathrm{n}^{3}$ seconds.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\uparrow \\
\text { almost identical hypothesis } \\
\text { to one obtained via log-log plot }
\end{gathered}
$$

Analysis of algorithms quiz 1
Estimate the running time to solve a problem of size $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 6 , 0 0 0}$.
A. 39 seconds.

| $n$ | time (seconds) $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 0.02 |
| 2000 | 0.05 |
| 4,000 | 0.2 |
| 8,000 | 0.81 |
| 16,000 | 3.25 |
| 32,000 | 13 |

## Two surprises

Approximate running time is a simple mathematical expression
Generally holds true even for much more complex programs!

Running time on different systems differs only by a constant factor!
Running time on system 1: $a_{1} n^{b}$
Running time on system 2: $a_{2} n^{b}$

## Experimental algorithmics

System independent effects.

- Algorithm.
- (Rarely) Input data.

```
determines exponent \(b\)
```

in power law $a n^{b}$

System dependent effects.

- Hardware: CPU, memory, cache, ...
- Software: compiler, interpreter, garbage collector, ...
- System: operating system, network, other apps, ...
- Input data


## Theorist vs. pragmatist view of algorithmic efficiency



Theorist: Worrying about constant factors is tedious and crass! The asymptotic efficiency of an algorithm is a mathematical fact. I study properties of the universe. The computer is irrelevant!

Novice: My program ran in 3 seconds on my laptop when I fed it data. That's pretty good, right?

Pragmatist: I will use math. model to compute $b$, then verify empirically. I will use a combination of math and observation to estimate $a$.

Bad news. Sometimes difficult to get precise measurements.
Good news. Much easier and cheaper than other sciences.

## An aside

Algorithmic experiments are virtually free by comparison with other sciences.



Computer Science
(1 million experiments)

Bottom line. No excuse for not running experiments to understand costs.
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## Mathematical models for running time

Total running time: sum of (cost $\times$ frequency) for all operations.

- Need to analyze program to determine set of operations.
- Cost depends on machine, compiler.
- Frequency depends on algorithm, input data.


In principle, accurate mathematical models are available.

## Cost of basic operations

Challenge. How to estimate constants.

| operation | example | nanoseconds + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| integer add | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ | 2.1 |
| integer multiply | $\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ | 2.4 |
| integer divide | $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ | 5.4 |
| floating-point add | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ | 4.6 |
| floating-point multiply | $\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ | 4.2 |
| floating-point divide | $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ | 13.5 |
| sine | Math. $\sin (\mathrm{theta})$ | 91.3 |
| arctangent | Math. atan2(y, x) | 129 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

$\dagger$ Running OS X on Macbook Pro 2.2 GHz with 2GB RAM

## Frequency of basic operations: Example: 1-SUM

Q. How many instructions as a function of input size $n$ ?

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    if (a[i] == 0)
        count++;
    n array accesses
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline operation & frequency \\
\hline variable declaration & 2 \\
\hline assignment statement & 2 \\
\hline less than compare & \(n+1\) \\
\hline equal to compare & \(n\) \\
\hline array access & \(n\) to \(2 n\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## Simplification 1: cost model

Cost model. Use some basic operation as a proxy for running time.

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    if (a[i] == 0)
        count++;
```

Heuristic: pick an operation that's both
frequent and costly

Assumption:
Array access dominates running time
This is a hypothesis that can be tested

| operation | frequency | Memory access is a good candidate: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variable declaration | 2 | Memmunicating outside CPU is often costly <br> coment |  |
| assignment statement | 2 | $n+1$ |  |
| less than compare | $n$ | cost model = array accesses <br> (we assume compiler/JVM do not <br> optimize any array accesses away!) |  |
| equal to compare | $n$ | $n$ to $2 n$ |  |

## Simplification 2: tilde notation

- Estimate running time (or memory) as a function of input size $n$.
- Ignore lower order terms.
- when $n$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $n$ is small, we don't care

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Ex 1. } & 1 / 6 n^{3}+20 n+16 & \sim 1 / 6 n^{3} \\
\text { Ex 2. } & 1 / 6 n^{3}+100 n^{4 / 3}+56 & \sim 1 / 6 n^{3} \\
\text { Ex 3. } & 1 / 6 n^{3}-\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { discard lower-order terms } \\
1 / 2 n^{2}+1 / 3 n}} & \sim 1 / 6 n^{3}
\end{array}
$$



Leading-term approximation

Technical definition. $f(n) \sim g(n)$ means $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)}=1$

## Example: 2-SUM

Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $n$ ?

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < n; j++)
        if (a[i] + + a[j] == 0) & < < < "inner loop"
    0+1+2+\ldots+(N-1)=\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)
    =( (\begin{array}{c}{土}\\{2}\end{array})
```

A. $\sim n^{2}$ array accesses.

Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify counts.

## Example: 3-SUM

Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $n$ ?

```
    int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < n; j++)
        for (int k = j+1; k < n; k++)
        if (a[i] + a[j] + a[k] == 0) \longleftarrow « "innerloop"
A. \(\sim_{1 / 2}^{2} n^{3}\) array accesses.
\[
\begin{aligned}
\binom{N}{3} & =\frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{3!} \\
& \sim \frac{1}{6} N^{3}
\end{aligned}
\]
```

Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify counts.

## Estimating a discrete sum

Q. How to estimate a discrete sum?

A1. Take a discrete mathematics course (COS 340).


## Estimating a discrete sum

Q. How to estimate a discrete sum?

A2. Replace the sum with an integral, and use calculus!

Ex. $1+2+\ldots+n$.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} i \sim \int_{x=1}^{N} x d x \sim \frac{1}{2} N^{2}
$$

Visual proof:

Area occupied by the sum

$$
\approx
$$

Half the area of the square

## Estimating a discrete sum

Q. How to estimate a discrete sum?

## A3. Use Maple or Wolfram Alpha.

## WolframAlpha*|PRO

```
\(\operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{sum}(1, k=j+1 . . N), \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1 . . \mathrm{N}), \mathrm{i}=1 . . \mathrm{N})\)
```

돕


> Sum: $$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{N}\left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{N} 1\right)\right)=\frac{1}{6} N\left(N^{2}-3 N+2\right)
$$

wolframalpha.com
[wayne:nobe1.princeton.edu] > map1e15

```
|\^/| Map1e 15 (X86 64 LINUX)
```

.$-|\backslash| \quad|/|_{-}$. Copyright (c) Maplesoft, a division of Water1oo Maple Inc. 2011
\ MAPLE / All rights reserved. Maple is a trademark of
<___ Waterloo Maple Inc.
Type ? for help.
> factor(sum(sum(sum(1, k=j+1..n), j = i+1..n), i = 1..n));

$$
\begin{gathered}
n(n-1)(n-2) \\
6
\end{gathered}
$$

Analysis of algorithms quiz 2
How many array accesses does the following code fragment make as a function of $n$ ?

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < n; j++)
        for (int k = 1; k < n; k = k*2)
        if (a[i] + a[j] >= a[k])
        count++;
```

A. $\sim n^{2} \lg n$
B. $\quad \sim 3 / 2 n^{2} \lg n$
$k=1,2,4, \ldots$
C. $\sim 1 / 2 n^{3}$
D. $\sim 3 / 2 n^{3}$
E. I don't know.
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## Common order-of-growth classifications

Definition. If $f(n) \sim c g(n)$ for some constant $c>0$, then the order of growth of $f(n)$ is $g(n)$.

- Ignores leading coefficient.
- Ignores lower-order terms.

Ex. The order of growth of the running time of this code is $n^{3}$.

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < n; j++)
        for (int k = j+1; k < n; k++)
        if (a[i] + a[j] + a[k] == 0)
            count++;
```

Typical usage. Mathematical analysis of running times.

## Common order-of-growth classifications

Good news. The set of functions
$1, \log n, n, n \log n, n^{2}, n^{3}$, and $2^{n}$
suffices to describe the order of growth of most common algorithms.


## Common order-of-growth classifications

| order of growth | name | typical code framework | description | example | $T(2 n) / \mathrm{T}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | constant | $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} ;$ | statement | add two numbers | 1 |
| $\log n$ | logarithmic | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { while }(\mathrm{n}>1) \\ \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n} / 2 ; \quad \ldots \end{array}\right\}$ | divide in half | binary search | $\sim 1$ |
| $n$ | linear | for (int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}++$ ) \{ ... \} | single loop | find the maximum | 2 |
| $n \log n$ | linearithmic | see mergesort lecture | divide and conquer | mergesort | $\sim 2$ |
| $n^{2}$ | quadratic | ```for (int i = 0; i < n; j++) for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) { ... }``` | double loop | check all pairs | 4 |
| $n^{3}$ | cubic | ```for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) for (int k = 0; k < n; k++) { ... }``` | triple <br> loop | check all triples | 8 |
| $2^{n}$ | exponential | see combinatorial search lecture | exhaustive search | check all subsets | $2^{n}$ |

## Binary search

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
successful search for 33

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
| $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| lo |  |  |  |  |  |  | mid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hi |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
successful search for 33

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
| $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lo |  |  | mid |  |  | hi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
successful search for 33

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | mid | hi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.



## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
unsuccessful search for 34

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
| $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | mid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hi |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
unsuccessful search for 34

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Io |  |  | $\uparrow$ <br> mid |  |  | $\underset{h i}{\uparrow}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.
unsuccessful search for 34

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {lo }}{ }_{\text {lo }}$ | $\uparrow$ mid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Binary search demo

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Binary search. Compare key against middle entry.

- Too small, go left.
- Too big, go right.
- Equal, found.



## Binary search: Java implementation

Invariant. If key appears in array $a[]$, then $a[1 o] \leq k e y \leq a[h i]$.

Cost model. key comparisons. [Why?]

```
public static int binarySearch(int[] a, int key)
{
        int lo = 0, hi = a.length - 1;
        while (lo <= hi)
        {
            int mid = 1o + (hi - 1o) / 2;
            if (key < a[mid]) hi = mid - 1;
            else if (key > a[mid]) lo = mid + 1;
            else return mid;
    }
    return -1;
}
```

Binary search: mathematical analysis

Proposition. Binary search uses at most $1+\lg n$ key compares to search in a sorted array of size $n$.

Def. $T(n)=$ \# key compares to binary search a sorted subarray of size $\leq n$.

Binary search recurrence. $T(n) \leq T(n / 2)+1$ for $n>1$, with $T(1)=1$.


Pf sketch. [assume $n$ is a power of 2]

```
T(n) \leq T(n/2) + 1
    \leq T(n/4)+1+1
    \leqT(n/8)+1+1+1
    \vdots
    \leqT(n/n)+1+1+\ldots+1 [ stop applying, T(1)=1]
    = 1 + \operatorname { l g } n
        lg}
```

The 3 -sum problem: an $n^{2} \log n$ algorithm

Algorithm.

- Step 1: Sort the $n$ (distinct) numbers.
- Step 2: For each pair of numbers a[i] and $a[j]$, binary search for $-(a[i]+a[j])$.

Analysis. Order of growth is $n^{2} \log n$.

- Step 1: $n^{2}$ with insertion sort
(or $n \log n$ with mergesort).
- Step 2: $n^{2} \log n$ with binary search.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { input } \\
& \qquad 30-40-20-10 \\
& \hline 0
\end{aligned} \frac{40}{} 00 \begin{array}{llll} 
& 10 & 5
\end{array}
$$

sort

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
-40 & -20 & -10 & 0 & 5 & 10 & 30 & 40
\end{array}
$$

binary search
$\left.\begin{array}{rr}(-40, & -20) \\ (-40, & -10) \\ (-40, & 0 \\ (-40, & 50 \\ (-40, & 40\end{array}\right)$
$(-20,-10)$
30

only count if
$a[i]<a[j]<a[k]$
$\begin{array}{llll}(10, & 30) & -40 & \longleftarrow \\ \text { to avoid } \\ (10, & 40) & -50 & \text { double counting }\end{array}$
( 30,40 ) -70

## Comparing programs

Hypothesis. The sorting-based $n^{2} \log n$ algorithm for 3 -Sum is significantly faster in practice than the brute-force $n^{3}$ algorithm.

| $n$ | time (seconds) |  | $n$ | time (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 0.1 |  | 1,000 | 0.14 |
| 2,000 | 0.8 | 2,000 | 0.18 |  |
| 4,000 | 6.4 | 4,000 | 0.34 |  |
| 8,000 | 51.1 | 8,000 | 0.96 |  |
| ThreeSum.java |  | 16,000 | 3.67 |  |
|  |  | 32,000 | 14.88 |  |

Guiding principle. Typically, better order of growth $\Rightarrow$ faster in practice.

## Theorist vs. pragmatist view of algorithmic efficiency



Theorist: Worrying about constant factors is tedious and crass!
The asymptotic efficiency of an algorithm is a mathematical fact.
I study properties of the universe. The computer is irrelevant!

Pragmatist: I will use mathematical model to compute $b$, then verify empirically.
I will use a combination of math and observation to estimate $a$.

When I need to pick between algorithms, models provide a strong clue to practical performance.

### 1.4 Analysis of Algorithms

## - introduction

Algorithms

Robert Sedgewick 1 Kevin Wayne

- observatións
- mathematical models
- order-of-growth classifications
- memory


## Basics

Bit. 0 or 1.
Byte. 8 bits.
Megabyte (MB). 220 bytes (about 1 million).
Gigabyte (GB). 230 bytes (about 1 billion).


64-bit machine. We assume a 64-bit machine with 8-byte pointers.

> some JVMs "compress" ordinary object pointers to 4 bytes to avoid this cost

## Typical memory usage for primitive types and arrays

| type | bytes |
| :---: | :---: |
| boolean | 1 |
| byte | 1 |
| char | 2 |
| int | 4 |
| float | 4 |
| long | 8 |
| double | 8 |


| type | bytes |
| :---: | :---: |
| char[] | $2 n+24$ |
| int[] | $4 n+24$ |
| doub7e[] | $8 n+24$ |
| one-dimensional arrays |  |


| type | bytes |
| :---: | :---: |
| char [][] | $\sim 2 m n$ |
| int [][] | $\sim 4 m n$ |
| doub7e[][] | $\sim 8 m n$ |

two-dimensional arrays

## Typical memory usage for objects in Java

Object overhead. 16 bytes.
Reference. 8 bytes.
Padding. Each object uses a multiple of 8 bytes.

Ex 1. A Date object uses 32 bytes of memory.

```
public class Date
{
    private int day;
    private int month;
    private int year;
}
```



## Typical memory usage summary

Total memory usage for a data type value:

- Primitive type: 4 bytes for int, 8 bytes for double, ...
- Object reference: 8 bytes.
- Array: 24 bytes + memory for each array entry.
- Object: 16 bytes + memory for each instance variable.
- Padding: round up to multiple of 8 bytes.
+8 extra bytes per inner class object
(for reference to enclosing class)

Note. Depending on application, we may want to count memory for any referenced objects (recursively).

## Analysis of algorithms quiz 3

## How much memory does a WeightedQuickUnionUF use as a function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ ?

A. $\sim 4 n$ bytes
B. $\sim 8 n$ bytes
C. $\sim 4 n^{2}$ bytes
D. $\sim 8 n^{2}$ bytes
E. I don't know.

```
public class WeightedQuickUnionUF
{
    private int[] parent;
    private int[] size;
    private int count;
    public WeightedQuickUnionUF(int n)
    {
        parent = new int[n];
        size = new int[n];
        count = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        parent[i] = i;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
        size[i] = 1;
    }
}
```


## Analysis of algorithms quiz 3

## How much memory does a WeightedQuickUnionUF use as a function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ ?



## Turning the crank: summary

## Empirical analysis.

- Execute program to perform experiments.
- Assume power law.
- Formulate a hypothesis for running time.
- Model enables us to make predictions.



## Mathematical analysis.

- Analyze algorithm to count frequency of operations.
- Use tilde notation to simplify analysis.
- Model enables us to explain behavior.

$$
\sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor\lg N\rfloor}\left\lceil N / 2^{h+1}\right\rceil h \sim N
$$

Scientific method.

- Mathematical model is independent of a particular system; applies to machines not yet built.
- Empirical analysis is necessary to validate mathematical models and to make predictions.



## Announcement

Unlike COS 126, you get only 10 checks in Dropbox per assignment

More announcements (re. exercises, etc.): see Piazza


[^0]:    † on a 2.8 GHz Intel PU-226 with 64GB
    (not consistent with prev. slide) DDR E3 memory and 32MB L3 cache; running Oracle Java 1.7.0_45-b18 on Springdale Linux v. 6.5

