# Recommender Systems

- · Look at classic model and techniques
  - Items
  - Users
  - Recommend Items to Users
- · Recommend new items based on:
  - similarity to items user liked in past: individual history "Content Filtering"
  - Liked by other users similar to this user: collaborative history
  - "Collaborative Filtering"
  - Liked by other users: crowd history
    - easier case

1

# Recommender System attributes

- · Need explicit or implicit ratings by user
  - Purchase is 0/1 rating
    - Movie tickets
    - Books
- · Have focused category
  - examples: music, courses, restaurants
  - hard to cross categories with content-based
  - easier to cross categories with collaborative-based
    - · users share tastes across categories?

2

# Content Filtering

- · Items must have characteristics
- · user values item
  - ⇒ values characteristics of item
- model each item as vector of weights of characteristics
  - much like vector-based IR
- user can give explicit preferences for certain characteristics

3

# Buy/no buy prediction method: similarity with centroid

- Average vectors of items user bought
  - user's centroid
- · Find similarity of new items to user's centroid
- Decide threshold for "buy" recommendation

4

## Example

- user bought book 1 and book 2
- Average books bought = (0, 1, 0.5, 0)
- · Score new books
  - dot product gives: score(A) = 0.5; score (B)= 1
- decide threshold for recommendation

	1 <sup>st</sup> person	romance	mystery	sci-fi
book 1	0	1	1	0
book 2	0	1	0	0
new book A	1	.5	0	0
new book B	0	1	0	.2

#### Method issues

- · Centroid best way to build a preference vector?
- What metric use for similarity between new items and preference vector?
  - Normalization?
- · What if users give ratings?
  - Centroid per rating value?
- how include explicit user preferences
- · How determine threshold?

### Example with explicit user preferences

How use scores of books bought?

Try: preference vector p where component k = user pref for characteristic k if ≠ 0 avg. comp. k of books bought when user pref =0 0 pref for user = "don' t care"

p=(0, 1, 0.5, -5)New scores?  $p \cdot A = 0.5$  $p \cdot B = 0$ 

	1 <sup>st</sup> per	rom	mys	sci-fi
user pref	0	1	0	-5
book 1	0	1	1	0
book 2	0	1	0	0
new A	1	.5	0	0
new B	0	1	0	.2

# Other methods: machine learning

- Major alternatives based on classifiers
  - Training set: items bought and not bought
  - Train classifier many algorithms
  - Classify new item as buy/no buy
- Observations
  - Uses books not bought. Problems?
  - Multiple rating value
     Can use multiple classes

.

# **Limitations of Content Filtering**

- Can only recommend items similar to those user rated highly
- · New users
  - Insufficient number of rated items
- Only consider features explicitly associated with items
  - Do not include attributes of user

9

# Applying concepts to search

- · Individual histories
  - Characterize individual by topic interest
    - Properties of objects interact with
  - Characterize query by related topics
    - · Role of terms of query in topic
  - Modify query to bias to shared topics
  - Modify ranking to prefer shared topics

10

# Example study: Personalizing Web Search Using Long-term Browsing History (in WSDM11)

- · Goal: rerank
  - top 50 results from Google query
- · Strategy:
  - score snippets from search result against user profile
  - rerank based on snippet score
- · Selection of info for user profile
  - list of visited URLs w/ number visits
  - list of past search queries and pages clicked
  - list of terms with weights for content of pages visited

Personalizing Web Search Using Long-term Browsing History, cont

Studies selection of methods for

- · user profile: what sources of terms use
- · user profile: weights for terms

- tf-idf

· where get idf?

worked best

- "modified BM25"- a "log odds measure"
- · scoring
  - language model with adjustments for
    - URLs previously visited
    - · original rank of snippet in search

performed best

## W<sub>modBM25</sub> weighting

N = # documents on Web – estimated

 $n_{ti}$  = # docs on Web containing term  $t_i$  - estimated

R = # documents in user browser history

 $r_{ti}$  = # docs in user browser history that contain term  $t_{i}$ 

 $W_{\text{modBM25}}(t_i) =$ 

$$\log \left( \begin{array}{c} \left( \frac{(r_{ti} + 0.5)(N - n_{ti} + 0.5)}{(n_{ti} + 0.5)(R - r_{ti} + 0.5)} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

#### Scoring

N<sub>si</sub>= # unique words in snippet s<sub>i</sub>

r<sub>si</sub> = rank of snippet s<sub>i</sub> in original search results

 $\boldsymbol{n}_{i}$  = # previous visits by user to web page with snippet  $\boldsymbol{s}_{i}$ 

 $w(t_k)$  = weigth of term  $t_k$  in user profile

modif. for URLs previously visited:

$$score_{w/URL}(s_i) = score(s_i)^*(1+v^*n_i)$$
 parameter v

 $score_{lang. model} (s_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{si}} log ((w(t_k) + 1)/w_{total})$ 

· modif to acct. for orig. rank:

$$score_{w/orig}(s_i) = score(s_i)^*(1/(1+log(r_{si})))$$

Personalizing Web Search Using Long-term Browsing History **Evaluation** 

- · "offline" evaluation:
  - relevance judgments by volunteers
  - used to select best of algorithmic variations
- online evaluation of best variations:
  - add-on to Browser by volunteers
  - interleave original results (no personalization) with results reranked by snippet score
  - record clicks by user which list from

15

Personalizing Web Search Using Long-term Browsing History Results

- · Offline: normalized DCG, avg. of 72 queries
  - Google's ranking w/out personalization: 0.502
  - best-performing of variations for reranking: 0.573
- Online
  - 8% queries: # clicks from original and reranked same
  - of rest: 60.5% queries: more clicks from reranked
     39.5% queries: more clicks from original

#### Observation

 Reranking can be done completely in browser if enough space for data for user profile

# What we' ve just seen:

Recommender systems: Content Filtering Applying content filtering to search

# Now back to recommender systems:

Collaborative Filtering

17

# Collaborative Filtering

- Recommend new items liked by other users similar to this user
- need items already rated by user and other users
- · don't need characteristics of items
  - each rating by individual user becomes characteristic
- Can combine with item characteristics

   hybrid content/collaborative

# Major method types

- · Nearest neighbor
  - Use similarity function
  - Prediction based on previously rated items
- · Matrix Factorization
  - "Latent factors"
  - Matrix decomposition
- Both use (user x item) matrix
  - vector similarity

# Example of nearest neighbor: **Preliminaries**

- Notation
  - $r(u,i) = rating of i^{th} item by user u$
  - $-I_u$  = set of items rated by user u

  - $$\begin{split} &-I_{u,v} = set~of~items~rated~by~both~users~u~and~v\\ &-U_{i,j} = set~of~users~that~rated~items~i~and~j \end{split}$$
- · Adjust scales for user differences
  - Use average rating by user u:

$$r_u^{\text{avg}} = (1/|I_u|) * \sum_{i \text{ in } I_u} r(u,i)$$

- Adjusted ratings:  $r_{adj}(u,i) = r(u,i) - r_u^{avg}$ 

## One choice of similarity function: **User Similarities**

- similarity between users u and v
  - Pearson correlation coefficient

$$sim(u,v) = \frac{\sum\limits_{i \text{ in } I_{u,v}} (r_{adj}(u,i) * r_{adj}(v,i))}{(\sum\limits_{i \text{ in } I_{u,v}} (r_{adj}(v,i))^2 * \sum\limits_{i \text{ in } I_{u,v}} (r_{adj}(v,i))^2)^{1/2}}$$

### Predicting User's rating of new item: User-based

For item i not rated by user u

$$r^{pred}(u,i) = r_u^{avg} + \frac{\sum\limits_{v \text{ in } S} (sim(u,v) * r_{adj}(v, i))}{\sum\limits_{v \text{ in } S} |sim(u,v)|}$$

S can be all users who have rated i or just those users most similar to u

# Collaborative filtering example

user		book 1	book 2	book 3	book 4
ratings	user 1	5	1	2	0
	user 2	x	5	2	5
	user 3	3	1	X	2
	user 4	4	0	2	?
		book 1	book 2	book 3	book 4
adj.	user 1	book 1	book 2	book 3	book 4
user	user 1 user 2			book 3 0 -2	
- 1		3		0	

## Collaborative filtering example

- $sim(u1,u4) = (6+2)/(10*8)^{1/2} = .894$
- $sim(u2,u4) = (-2)/(5*4)^{1/2} = -.447$
- $sim(u3,u4) = (2+2)/(2*8)^{1/2} = 1$

• predict r(u4, book4) = 2 + 
$$\frac{(-2)^*.894 + 1^*(-.447) + 0^*1}{.894 + .447 + 1}$$
  
= 2 - .955  $\approx$  1

## Another choice of similarity function: Item Similarities

- · similarity between items i and j
  - vector of ratings of users in Uii
  - cosine measure using adjusted ratings

$$sim(i,j) = \frac{\sum\limits_{u \text{ in } U_{i,j}} (r_{adj}(u,i) * r_{adj}(u,j) \text{ )}}{(\sum\limits_{u \text{ in } U_{i,j}} (r_{adj}(u,i))^2 \sum\limits_{u \text{ in } U_{i,j}} (r_{adj}(u,j))^2 \text{ )}^{1/2}}$$

Predicting User's rating of new item: Item-based

For item i not rated by user u

$$r^{item\text{-pred}}(u,i) = \frac{\sum\limits_{j \text{ in } T} (sim(i,j)*r(u,j))}{\sum\limits_{j \text{ in } T} |sim(i,j)|}$$

T can be all items in I<sub>n</sub> or just items most similar to i

> Prediction uses only u's ratings, but similarity uses other users' ratings

#### Limitations

- · May not have enough ratings for new users
- · New items may not be rated by enough
- · Need "critical mass" of users

- All similarities based on user ratings

But can take user "out of comfort zone"

# Applying nearest-neighbor collab. filtering concepts to search

- · Collaborative histories
  - How determine user similarity?
    - · Behavior on identical searches?
    - · Overlap of general topic interests?
      - From overlapping behaviors
      - Hybrid content-based and behavior-based
    - · Computational expense?
      - Argues for general topic-interest characterizations
  - How apply similarity?
    - · Same search? Bias ranking?
    - Same topic of search? Bias topics of results? 28

Example

from A Large-scale Evaluation and Analysis of Personalize Search Strategies (in WWW07)

- · Goal: rerank search results
- Based on query log history clicks as ratings
- Also uses 67 pre-defined topic categories
- · Strategy:
  - get similarity of users based on user history of visited
  - find K most similar users to user doing search K nearest neighbor; use K=50
  - calc. score for each result of search based on click history of K nearest neighbors
  - rerank results of search based on score

Details

from A Large-scale Evaluation and Analysis of Personalize Search Strategies (in WWW07)

P(u) = collection of Web pages visited by user u in the past

P(p|u) =# times u clicked on page p in past

total # times u clicked on a page in past

w(p) = log( total # users / # users visited page p)

"impact weight" - idf-like

c(p) = "category vector" for page p

do classification of page

vector gives confidence # for top 6 categories (other entries 0)

User profile  $\boldsymbol{c}_{\ell}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \text{ in P}(\mathbf{u})} P(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{u}) w(\mathbf{p}) \boldsymbol{c}(\mathbf{p})$ 

 $\boldsymbol{c}_{t}(\mathbf{u}_{1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_{t}(\mathbf{u}_{2})$ User similarity  $sim(u_1, u_2) =$  $||\boldsymbol{c}_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{u}_1)|| ||\boldsymbol{c}_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{u}_2)||$ 

#### Details

from A Large-scale Evaluation and Analysis of Personalize Search Strategies (in *WWW07*)

 $S_k(u_a)$  denotes k nearest neighbors of user  $u_a$ 

#### click history:

 $|\text{clicks}(q,p,u_s)|$  = # clicks on pg p by user  $u_s$  on past query q  $|\text{clicks}(q,^*,u_s)|$  = # clicks overall by user  $u_s$  on past query q

the score of a page p for query q and user u:

$$S \; (q,p,u) = \quad \frac{\sum_{u_s \; \text{in} \; S_k(u)} \; sim(u_s,u) \; * \; |\text{clicks}(q,p,u_s)|}{\beta + \sum_{u_s \; \text{in} \; S_k(u)} \; |\text{clicks}(q,^*,u_s)|}$$

 $\beta$  is a "smoothing factor"; taken to be 0.5

31

#### Experiments

from A Large-scale Evaluation and Analysis of Personalize Search Strategies (in *WWW07*)

- Data set: MSN query logs 12 days August 2006 sampled 10,000 distinct users used 11 days for training, last day for testing
   4000 test queries
- Action, for each user and query
  - re-rank top 50 results using a "fusion" of original rank and order given by page scores S(q,p,u)
- · Evaluation: 2 metrics
  - 1.a DCG-like metric with clicking indicating relevance
  - 2. average rank of clicked items

32

#### Results

from A Large-scale Evaluation and Analysis of Personalize Search Strategies (in WWW07)

- · Good news:
  - re-ranking improves over original ranking
- · So-so news:

improvement is 3.62% on queries where there is room for improvement

Not so good news:

non-collaborative personalization improves 3.68%

$$S (q,p,u) = \frac{|clicks(q,p,u)|}{\beta + |clicks(q,^*,u)|}$$

33

## Where are we?

- · Refinement/Personalization of results
- · Study techniques of

Recommender systems

- Content filtering
  - · Applying content filtering to search
- Collaborative filtering
  - · Nearest neighbor methods
    - Applying nearest neighbor method to search
  - ➤ Matrix factorization methods