


# Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE



## 5.1 STRING SORTS

---

- ▶ *strings in Java*
- ▶ *key-indexed counting*
- ▶ *LSD radix sort*
- ▶ *MSD radix sort*
- ▶ *3-way radix quicksort*
- ▶ *suffix arrays*

## 5.1 STRING SORTS

---

- ▶ *strings in Java*
- ▶ *key-indexed counting*
- ▶ *LSD radix sort*
- ▶ *MSD radix sort*
- ▶ *3-way radix quicksort*
- ▶ *suffix arrays*

## String processing

**String.** Sequence of characters.

**Important fundamental abstraction.**

- Genomic sequences.
- Information processing.
- Communication systems (e.g., email).
- Programming systems (e.g., Java programs).
- ...

“The digital information that underlies biochemistry, cell biology, and development can be represented by a simple string of G's, A's, T's and C's. This string is the root data structure of an organism's biology.” — M. V. Olson



## The char data type

**C char data type.** Typically an 8-bit integer.

- Supports 7-bit ASCII.
- Can represent at most 256 characters.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	A	B	C	D	E	F
0	NUL	SOH	STX	ETX	EOT	ENQ	ACK	BEL	BS	HT	LF	VT	FF	CR	SO	SI
1	DLE	DC1	DC2	DC3	DC4	NAK	SYN	ETB	CAN	EM	SUB	ESC	FS	GS	RS	US
2	SP	!	“	#	\$	%	&	‘	(	)	*	+	,	-	.	/
3	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	:	;	<	=	>	?
4	@	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
5	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[	\	]	^	_
6	‘	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o
7	p	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z	{		}	~	DEL

Hexadecimal to ASCII conversion table

A á ð ö

U+0041 U+00E1 U+2202 U+1D50A

some Unicode characters

**Java char data type.** A 16-bit unsigned integer.

- Supports original 16-bit Unicode.
- Supports 21-bit Unicode 3.0 (awkwardly).

## I ❤ Unicode




## The String data type

[String data type in Java.](#) Immutable sequence of characters.

[Length.](#) Number of characters.

[Indexing.](#) Get the  $i^{th}$  character.

[Concatenation.](#) Concatenate one string to the end of another.



5

6

## The String data type: immutability

[Q. Why immutable?](#)

[A. All the usual reasons.](#)

- Can use as keys in symbol table.
- Don't need to defensively copy.
- Ensures consistent state.
- Supports concurrency.
- Improves security.

```
public class FileInputStream
{
    private String filename;
    public FileInputStream(String filename)
    {
        if (!allowedToReadFile(filename))
            throw new SecurityException();
        this.filename = filename;
    }
    ...
}
```

attacker could bypass security if string type were mutable

## The String data type: representation

[Representation \(Java 7\).](#) Immutable char[] array + cache of hash.

operation	Java	running time
length	s.length()	1
indexing	s.charAt(i)	1
concatenation	s + t	$M + N$
⋮	⋮	⋮

7

8

## String performance trap

Q. How to build a long string, one character at a time?

```
public static String reverse(String s)
{
    String rev = "";
    for (int i = s.length() - 1; i >= 0; i--)
        rev += s.charAt(i);
    return rev;
}
```

quadratic time

A. Use `StringBuilder` data type (mutable `char[]` array).

```
public static String reverse(String s)
{
    StringBuilder rev = new StringBuilder();
    for (int i = s.length() - 1; i >= 0; i--)
        rev.append(s.charAt(i));
    return rev.toString();
}
```

linear time

## Comparing two strings

Q. How many character compares to compare two strings of length  $W$ ?

p	r	e	f	e	t	c	h
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
p	r	e	f	i	x	e	s

Running time. Proportional to length of longest common prefix.

- Proportional to  $W$  in the worst case.
- But, often sublinear in  $W$ .

9

10

## Alphabets

Digital key. Sequence of digits over fixed alphabet.

Radix. Number of digits  $R$  in alphabet.

name	$R()$	$\lg R()$	characters
BINARY	2	1	01
OCTAL	8	3	01234567
DECIMAL	10	4	0123456789
HEXADECIMAL	16	4	0123456789ABCDEF
DNA	4	2	ACTG
LOWERCASE	26	5	abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
UPPERCASE	26	5	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
PROTEIN	20	5	ACDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
BASE64	64	6	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz0123456789+/
ASCII	128	7	ASCII characters
EXTENDED_ASCII	256	8	extended ASCII characters
UNICODE16	65536	16	Unicode characters

11



## Review: summary of the performance of sorting algorithms

Frequency of operations.

algorithm	guarantee	random	extra space	stable?	operations on keys
<b>insertionsort</b>	$\frac{1}{2}N^2$	$\frac{1}{4}N^2$	1	✓	compareTo()
<b>mergesort</b>	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N$	✓	compareTo()
<b>quicksort</b>	$1.39 N \lg N^*$	$1.39 N \lg N$	$c \lg N$		compareTo()
<b>heapsort</b>	$2 N \lg N$	$2 N \lg N$	1		compareTo()

\* probabilistic

**Lower bound.**  $\sim N \lg N$  compares required by any compare-based algorithm.

Q. Can we do better (despite the lower bound)?

A. Yes, if we don't depend on key compares. ←

use array accesses  
to make R-way decisions  
(instead of binary decisions)

13

## Key-indexed counting demo

Goal. Sort an array  $a[]$  of  $N$  integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

- Count frequencies of each letter using key as index.
- Compute frequency cumulates which specify destinations.
- Access cumulates using key as index to move items.
- Copy back into original array.



R = 6

```
int N = a.length;
int[] count = new int[R+1];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    count[a[i]+1]++;
}

for (int r = 0; r < R; r++)
    count[r+1] += count[r];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    aux[count[a[i]]++] = a[i];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    a[i] = aux[i];
```

i	a[i]
0	d
1	a
2	c
3	f
4	f
5	b
6	d
7	b
8	f
9	b
10	e
11	a

use a for 0  
b for 1  
c for 2  
d for 3  
e for 4  
f for 5

## Key-indexed counting: assumptions about keys

**Assumption.** Keys are integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

**Implication.** Can use key as an array index.

input name	section	sorted result (by section)
Anderson	2	Harris 1
Brown	3	Martin 1
Davis	3	Moore 1
Garcia	4	Anderson 2
Harris	1	Martinez 2
Jackson	3	Miller 2
Johnson	4	Robinson 2
Jones	3	White 2
Martin	1	Brown 3
Martinez	2	Davis 3
Miller	2	Jackson 3
Moore	1	Jones 3
Robinson	2	Taylor 3
Smith	4	Williams 3
Taylor	3	Garcia 4
Thomas	4	Johnson 4
Thompson	4	Smith 4
White	2	Thomas 4
Williams	3	Thompson 4
Wilson	4	Wilson 4

↑  
keys are small integers

14

## Key-indexed counting demo

Goal. Sort an array  $a[]$  of  $N$  integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

- Count frequencies of each letter using key as index.
- Compute frequency cumulates which specify destinations.
- Access cumulates using key as index to move items.
- Copy back into original array.

i	a[i]	offset by 1 [stay tuned]
0	d	
1	a	
2	c	
3	f	
4	f	
5	b	
6	d	
7	b	
8	f	
9	b	
10	e	
11	a	

count frequencies

```
int N = a.length;
int[] count = new int[R+1];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    count[a[i]+1]++;
}

for (int r = 0; r < R; r++)
    count[r+1] += count[r];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    aux[count[a[i]]++] = a[i];

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    a[i] = aux[i];
```

r	count[r]
0	0
1	2
2	3
3	1
4	2
5	1
6	3
7	2
8	1
9	3
10	2
11	1

15

16

## Key-indexed counting demo

**Goal.** Sort an array  $a[]$  of  $N$  integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

- Count frequencies of each letter using key as index.
- Compute frequency cumulates which specify destinations.
- Access cumulates using key as index to move items.
- Copy back into original array.

i	a[i]	r count[r]	i	a[i]	i	aux[i]
0	d		0	d	0	a
1	a		1	a	1	a
2	c		2	c	2	b
3	f		3	f	3	b
4	f		4	b	4	b
5	b		5	c	5	c
6	d		6	d	6	d
7	b		7	e	7	d
8	f		8	f	8	e
9	b		9	f	9	f
10	e		10	-	10	f
11	a		11	a	11	f

compute cumulates → **for (int r = 0; r < R; r++) count[r+1] += count[r];**

move items → **for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) aux[count[a[i]]++] = a[i];**

6 keys < d, 8 keys < e  
so d's go in a[6] and a[7]

## Key-indexed counting demo

**Goal.** Sort an array  $a[]$  of  $N$  integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

- Count frequencies of each letter using key as index.
- Compute frequency cumulates which specify destinations.
- Access cumulates using key as index to move items.
- Copy back into original array.

i	a[i]	i	aux[i]
0	d	0	a
1	a	1	a
2	c	2	b
3	f	3	b
4	f	4	b
5	b	5	c
6	d	6	d
7	b	7	d
8	f	8	e
9	b	9	f
10	-	10	12
11	a	11	12

compute cumulates → **for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) count[a[i]+1]++;**

move items → **for (int r = 0; r < R; r++) count[r+1] += count[r];**

copy back → **for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) aux[count[a[i]]++] = a[i];**

for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) a[i] = aux[i];

## Key-indexed counting demo

**Goal.** Sort an array  $a[]$  of  $N$  integers between 0 and  $R - 1$ .

- Count frequencies of each letter using key as index.
- Compute frequency cumulates which specify destinations.
- Access cumulates using key as index to move items.
- Copy back into original array.

i	a[i]	i	aux[i]
0	a	0	a
1	a	1	a
2	b	2	b
3	b	3	b
4	b	4	b
5	c	5	c
6	d	6	d
7	d	7	d
8	e	8	e
9	f	9	f
10	-	10	12
11	f	11	f

copy back → **for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) a[i] = aux[i];**


## Key-indexed counting: analysis

**Proposition.** Key-indexed takes time proportional to  $N + R$ .

**Proposition.** Key-indexed counting uses extra space proportional to  $N + R$ .

Stable? ✓

a[0]	Anderson	2	Harris	1	aux[0]
a[1]	Brown	3	Martin	1	aux[1]
a[2]	Davis	3	Moore	1	aux[2]
a[3]	Garcia	4	Anderson	2	aux[3]
a[4]	Harris	1	Martinez	2	aux[4]
a[5]	Jackson	3	Miller	2	aux[5]
a[6]	Johnson	4	Robinson	2	aux[6]
a[7]	Jones	3	White	2	aux[7]
a[8]	Martin	1	Brown	3	aux[8]
a[9]	Martinez	2	Davis	3	aux[9]
a[10]	Miller	2	Jackson	3	aux[10]
a[11]	Moore	1	Jones	3	aux[11]
a[12]	Robinson	2	Taylor	3	aux[12]
a[13]	Smith	4	Williams	3	aux[13]
a[14]	Taylor	3	Garcia	4	aux[14]
a[15]	Thomas	4	Johnson	4	aux[15]
a[16]	Thompson	4	Smith	4	aux[16]
a[17]	White	2	Thomas	4	aux[17]
a[18]	Williams	3	Thompson	4	aux[18]
a[19]	Wilson	4	Wilson	4	aux[19]



## 5.1 STRING SORTS

- ▶ strings in Java
- ▶ key-indexed counting
- ▶ LSD radix sort
- ▶ MSD radix sort
- ▶ 3-way radix quicksort
- ▶ suffix arrays


ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

### Least-significant-digit-first string sort

#### LSD string (radix) sort.

- Consider characters from right to left.
- Stably sort using  $d^{\text{th}}$  character as the key (using key-indexed counting).



#### LSD string sort: Java implementation

```
public class LSD
{
    public static void sort(String[] a, int W)           ← fixed-length W strings
    {
        int R = 256;
        int N = a.length;
        String[] aux = new String[N];
        for (int d = W-1; d >= 0; d--)                  ← do key-indexed counting
        {
            int[] count = new int[R+1];
            for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
                count[a[i].charAt(d) + 1]++;
            for (int r = 0; r < R; r++)
                count[r+1] += count[r];
            for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
                aux[count[a[i].charAt(d)]++] = a[i];
            for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
                a[i] = aux[i];
        }
    }
}
```

#### LSD string sort: correctness proof

**Proposition.** LSD sorts fixed-length strings in ascending order.

**Pf.** [ by induction on  $i$  ]

After pass  $i$ , strings are sorted by last  $i$  characters.

- If two strings differ on sort key, key-indexed sort puts them in proper relative order.
- If two strings agree on sort key, stability keeps them in proper relative order.

	sort key	
0	d a b	0
1	c a b	1
2	f a d	2
3	b a d	3
4	d a d	4
5	e b b	5
6	c a b	6
7	a d d	7
8	f e d	8
9	b e d	9
10	f e e	10
11	b e e	11

sorted from previous passes (by induction)

**Proposition.** LSD sort is stable.

**Pf.** Key-indexed counting is stable.

## Summary of the performance of sorting algorithms

Frequency of operations.

algorithm	guarantee	random	extra space	stable?	operations on keys
<b>insertion sort</b>	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{4} N^2$	1	✓	compareTo()
<b>mergesort</b>	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N$	✓	compareTo()
<b>quicksort</b>	$1.39 N \lg N^*$	$1.39 N \lg N$	$c \lg N$		compareTo()
<b>heapsort</b>	$2 N \lg N$	$2 N \lg N$	1		compareTo()
<b>LSD sort †</b>	$2 W N$	$2 W N$	$N + R$	✓	charAt()

\* probabilistic

† fixed-length  $W$  keys

Q. What if strings are not all of same length?

## String sorting interview question

**Problem.** Sort one million 32-bit integers.

**Ex.** Google (or presidential) interview.

**Which sorting method to use?**

- Insertion sort.
- Mergesort.
- Quicksort.
- Heapsort.
- LSD string sort.



25

26

## How to take a census in 1900s?

**1880 Census.** Took 1500 people 7 years to manually process data.




**Herman Hollerith.** Developed counting and sorting machine to automate.

- Use punch cards to record data (e.g., gender, age).
- Machine sorts one column at a time (into one of 12 bins).
- Typical question: how many women of age 20 to 30?



Hollerith tabulating machine and sorter




punch card (12 holes per column)

**1890 Census.** Finished in 1 year (and under budget)!

28

## LSD string sort: a moment in history (1960s)



30

## Reverse LSD

- Consider characters from left to right.
- Stably sort using  $d^{\text{th}}$  character as the key (using key-indexed counting).

sort key (d = 0)												sort key (d = 1)												sort key (d = 2)											
0	d	a	b	0	a	d	d	0	b	a	d	0	c	a	b	0	d	a	b	0	a	d	d	0	a	d	d	0	a	c	e				
1	a	d	d	1	a	c	e	1	c	a	b	1	d	a	b	1	c	a	b	1	a	c	e	1	a	c	e	1	b	a	d				
2	c	a	b	2	b	a	d	2	d	a	b	2	e	b	b	2	d	a	b	2	b	a	d	2	b	e	e	2	b	e	d				
3	f	a	d	3	b	e	e	3	d	a	d	3	b	a	d	3	e	b	b	3	f	a	d	3	b	e	e	3	f	e	e				
4	f	e	e	4	b	e	d	4	f	a	d	4	d	a	d	4	f	e	e	4	b	e	d	4	b	e	d	4	b	e	d				
5	b	a	d	5	c	a	b	5	e	b	b	5	f	a	d	5	b	a	d	5	c	a	b	5	d	a	b	5	c	a	b				
6	d	a	d	6	d	a	b	6	a	c	e	6	a	d	d	6	a	c	e	6	d	a	b	6	d	a	b	6	d	a	d				
7	b	e	e	7	d	a	d	7	a	d	d	7	b	e	d	7	b	e	d	7	b	e	e	7	b	e	e	7	b	e	e				
8	f	e	d	8	e	b	b	8	b	e	e	8	f	e	d	8	f	e	d	8	e	b	b	8	f	a	d	8	f	e	e				
9	b	e	d	9	f	a	d	9	b	e	d	9	a	c	e	9	b	e	d	9	f	a	d	9	f	e	d	9	f	e	d				
10	e	b	b	10	f	e	e	10	f	e	e	10	b	e	e	10	f	e	e	10	e	b	b	10	f	e	e	10	f	e	e				
11	a	c	e	11	f	e	d	11	f	e	d	11	f	e	e	11	a	c	e	11	f	e	d	11	f	e	d	11	f	e	d				


32



## Most-significant-digit-first string sort

### MSD string (radix) sort.

- Partition array into  $R$  pieces according to first character (use key-indexed counting).
- Recursively sort all strings that start with each character (key-indexed counts delineate subarrays to sort).



33

## MSD string sort: example

input	she	are	ate	d	are						
sells	by	to	by		by	seashells	sea	sea	seas	sea	sea
seashells	she	sells	seashells	sea	seashells						
by	sells	seashells	sea	seashells							
the	seashells	sea	seashells								
sea	sea	sells	seashells								
shore	shore	seashells									
the	shells	she	shore								
shells	she	shore	shore	shells							
she	sells	shells									
sells	surely	she	she	surely	she	surely	she	surely	she	surely	she
are	seashells	surely	surely	surely	the	surely	the	surely	the	surely	the
surely	the	hi	the								
seashells	the										

need to examine every character in equal keys	end of string goes before any char value
are	are
by	by
sea	sea
seashells	seashells
seashells	seashells
sells	sells
sells	sells
she	she
shore	shore
shells	shells
she	she
surely	surely
the	the
the	the

| output    | are       | by        | sea       | seashells |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| are       | by        | sea       | seashells |
| by        | by        | sea       | seashells |
| sea       | sea       | sea       | seashells |
| seashells |
| seashells |
| sells     |
| sells     |
| she       |
| shore     |
| shells    |
| she       |
| surely    |
| the       |
| the       |

Trace of recursive calls for MSD string sort (no cutoff for small subarrays, subarrays of size 0 and 1 omitted)

## Variable-length strings

Treat strings as if they had an extra char at end (smaller than any char).

0	s	e	a	-1
1	s	e	a	s
2	s	e	l	l
3	s	h	e	-1
4	s	h	e	-1
5	s	h	e	l
6	s	h	o	r
7	s	u	r	e

why smaller?

she before shells

```
private static int charAt(String s, int d)
{
    if (d < s.length()) return s.charAt(d);
    else return -1;
}
```

C strings. Have extra char '\0' at end  $\Rightarrow$  no extra work needed.

34

## MSD string sort: Java implementation

```
public static void sort(String[] a)
{
    aux = new String[a.length];
    sort(a, aux, 0, a.length - 1, 0);
}

private static void sort(String[] a, String[] aux, int lo, int hi, int d)
{
    if (hi <= lo) return;
    int[] count = new int[R+2];
    for (int i = lo; i <= hi; i++)
        count[charAt(a[i], d) + 2]++;
    for (int r = 0; r < R+1; r++)
        count[r+1] += count[r];
    for (int i = lo; i <= hi; i++)
        aux[count[charAt(a[i], d) + 1]++] = a[i];
    for (int i = lo; i <= hi; i++)
        a[i] = aux[i - lo];

    for (int r = 0; r < R; r++)
        sort(a, aux, lo + count[r], lo + count[r+1] - 1, d+1);
}
```

recycles aux[] array but not count[] array

key-indexed counting

sort R subarrays recursively

## MSD string sort: potential for disastrous performance

Observation 1. Much too slow for small subarrays.

- Each function call needs its own count[] array.
- ASCII (256 counts): 100x slower than copy pass for  $N=2$ .
- Unicode (65,536 counts): 32,000x slower for  $N=2$ .

Observation 2. Huge number of small subarrays

because of recursion.

count[]

0	b
1	a

0	b
1	b

35

36

37

## Cutoff to insertion sort

**Solution.** Cutoff to insertion sort for small subarrays.

- Insertion sort, but start at  $d^{th}$  character.

```
private static void sort(String[] a, int lo, int hi, int d)
{
    for (int i = lo; i <= hi; i++)
        for (int j = i; j > lo && less(a[j], a[j-1], d); j--)
            exch(a, j, j-1);
}
```

- Implement `less()` so that it compares starting at  $d^{th}$  character.

```
private static boolean less(String v, String w, int d)
{
    for (int i = d; i < Math.min(v.length(), w.length()); i++)
    {
        if (v.charAt(i) < w.charAt(i)) return true;
        if (v.charAt(i) > w.charAt(i)) return false;
    }
    return v.length() < w.length();
}
```

## MSD string sort: performance

**Number of characters examined.**

- MSD examines just enough characters to sort the keys.
- Number of characters examined depends on keys.
- Can be sublinear in input size!



Random (sublinear)	Non-random with duplicates (nearly linear)	Worst case (linear)
1EI0402	are	1DNB377
1HYL490	by	1DNB377
1R0Z572	sea	1DNB377
2HKE734	seashells	1DNB377
2IYE230	seashells	1DNB377
2XOR846	sells	1DNB377
3CDB573	sells	1DNB377
3CVP720	she	1DNB377
3IGJ319	she	1DNB377
3KNA382	shells	1DNB377
3TAV879	shore	1DNB377
4CQP781	surely	1DNB377
4QGI284	the	1DNB377
4YHV229	the	1DNB377

Characters examined by MSD string sort

38

39

## Summary of the performance of sorting algorithms

Frequency of operations.

algorithm	guarantee	random	extra space	stable?	operations on keys
<b>insertion sort</b>	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{4} N^2$	1	✓	compareTo()
<b>mergesort</b>	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N$	✓	compareTo()
<b>quicksort</b>	$1.39 N \lg N^*$	$1.39 N \lg N$	$c \lg N$		compareTo()
<b>heapsort</b>	$2 N \lg N$	$2 N \lg N$	1		compareTo()
<b>LSD sort †</b>	$2 W N$	$2 W N$	$N + R$	✓	charAt()
<b>MSD sort ‡</b>	$2 W N$	$N \log_R N$	$N + D R$	✓	charAt()

D = function-call stack depth  
(length of longest prefix match)

\* probabilistic  
† fixed-length W keys  
‡ average-length W keys

## MSD string sort vs. quicksort for strings

**Disadvantages of MSD string sort.**

- Extra space for `aux[]`.
- Extra space for `count[]`.
- Inner loop has a lot of instructions.
- Accesses memory "randomly" (cache inefficient).

**Disadvantage of quicksort.**

- Linearithmic number of string compares (not linear).
- Has to rescan many characters in keys with long prefix matches.

doesnt rescan  
characters

tight inner loop,  
cache friendly

Goal. Combine advantages of MSD and quicksort.

40

41

## Engineering a radix sort (American flag sort)


**Optimization 0.** Cutoff to insertion sort.

**Optimization 1.** Replace recursion with explicit stack.

- Push subarrays to be sorted onto stack.
- Now, one `count[]` array suffices.

**Optimization 2.** Do  $R$ -way partitioning in place.

- Eliminates `aux[]` array.
- Sacrifices stability.



American national flag problem



Dutch national flag problem

### Engineering Radix Sort

Peter M. McIlroy and Keith Bostic  
University of California at Berkeley;  
and M. Douglas McIlroy  
AT&T Bell Laboratories


ABSTRACT: Radix sorting methods have excellent asymptotic performance on string data, for which comparison is not a unit-time operation. Attractive for use in large byte-addressable memories, these methods have nevertheless long been eclipsed by more easily programmed algorithms. Three ways to sort strings by bytes left to right—a stable list sort, a stable two-array sort, and an in-place “American flag” sort—are illustrated with practical C programs. For heavy-duty sorting, all three perform comparably, usually running at least twice as fast as a good quicksort. We recommend American flag sort for general use.

42

## 3-way string quicksort (Bentley and Sedgewick, 1997)

**Overview.** Do 3-way partitioning on the  $d^{\text{th}}$  character.


- Less overhead than  $R$ -way partitioning in MSD string sort.
- Does not re-examine characters equal to the partitioning char.  
(but does re-examine characters not equal to the partitioning char)



## 5.1 STRING SORTS

- ▶ strings in Java
- ▶ key-indexed counting
- ▶ LSD radix sort
- ▶ MSD radix sort
- ▶ 3-way radix quicksort
- ▶ suffix arrays

## 3-way string quicksort: trace of recursive calls



Trace of first few recursive calls for 3-way string quicksort (subarrays of size 1 not shown)

44

45

## 3-way string quicksort: Java implementation

```

private static void sort(String[] a)
{ sort(a, 0, a.length - 1, 0); }

private static void sort(String[] a, int lo, int hi, int d)
{
    if (hi <= lo) return;
    int lt = lo, gt = hi;
    int v = charAt(a[lo], d);
    int i = lo + 1;
    while (i <= gt)
    {
        int t = charAt(a[i], d);
        if      (t < v) exch(a, lt++, i++);
        else if (t > v) exch(a, i, gt--);
        else              i++;
    }

    sort(a, lo, lt-1, d);
    if (v >= 0) sort(a, lt, gt, d+1); ← sort 3 subarrays recursively
    sort(a, gt+1, hi, d);
}

```

3-way partitioning  
(using  $d^{\text{th}}$  character)

to handle variable-length strings

## 3-way string quicksort vs. standard quicksort

### Standard quicksort.

- Uses  $\sim 2N \ln N$  **string compares** on average.
- Costly for keys with long common prefixes (and this is a common case!)

### 3-way string (radix) quicksort.

- Uses  $\sim 2N \ln N$  **character compares** on average for random strings.
- Avoids re-comparing long common prefixes.

Fast Algorithms for Sorting and Searching Strings

Jon L. Bentley\*   Robert Sedgewick#

#### Abstract

We present theoretical algorithms for sorting and searching multikey data, and derive from them practical C implementations for applications in which keys are character strings. The sorting algorithm blends Quicksort and radix sort; it is competitive with the best known C sort codes. The searching algorithm blends tries and binary

that is competitive with the most efficient string sorting programs known. The second program is a symbol table implementation that is faster than hashing, which is commonly regarded as the fastest symbol table implementation. The symbol table implementation is much more space-efficient than multiway trees, and supports more advanced searches.


## 3-way string quicksort vs. MSD string sort

### MSD string sort.

- Is cache-inefficient.
- Too much memory storing count[].
- Too much overhead reinitializing count[] and aux[].

### 3-way string quicksort.

- Is cache-friendly.
- Is in-place.
- Has a short inner loop.



## Summary of the performance of sorting algorithms

### Frequency of operations.

algorithm	guarantee	random	extra space	stable?	operations on keys
<b>insertion sort</b>	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{4} N^2$	1	✓	compareTo()
<b>mergesort</b>	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N$	✓	compareTo()
<b>quicksort</b>	$1.39 N \lg N^*$	$1.39 N \lg N$	$c \lg N$		compareTo()
<b>heapsort</b>	$2 N \lg N$	$2 N \lg N$	1		compareTo()
<b>LSD sort †</b>	$2 W N$	$2 W N$	$N + R$	✓	charAt()
<b>MSD sort ‡</b>	$2 W N$	$N \log_R N$	$N + D R$	✓	charAt()
<b>3-way string quicksort</b>	$1.39 W N \lg R^*$	$1.39 N \lg N$	$\log N + W$		charAt()

Bottom line. 3-way string quicksort is method of choice for sorting strings.



## 5.1 STRING SORTS

- ▶ strings in Java
- ▶ key-indexed counting
- ▶ LSD radix sort
- ▶ MSD radix sort
- ▶ 3-way radix quicksort
- ▶ suffix arrays

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

### Keyword-in-context search

Given a text of  $N$  characters, preprocess it to enable fast substring search  
(find all occurrences of query string context).

```
% more tale.txt
it was the best of times
it was the worst of times
it was the age of wisdom
it was the age of foolishness
it was the epoch of belief
it was the epoch of incredulity
it was the season of light
it was the season of darkness
it was the spring of hope
it was the winter of despair
:
```

Applications. Linguistics, databases, web search, word processing, ....


### Keyword-in-context search

Given a text of  $N$  characters, preprocess it to enable fast substring search  
(find all occurrences of query string context).

```
% java KWIC tale.txt 15 ← characters of
search surrounding context
o st giless to search for contraband
her unavailing search for your fathe
le and gone in search of her husband
t provinces in search of impoverishe
dispersing in search of other carri
n that bed and search the straw hold

better thing
t is a far far better thing that i do than
some sense of better things else forgotte
was capable of better things mr carton ent
```

### Suffix sort



Applications. Linguistics, databases, web search, word processing, ....

## Keyword-in-context search: suffix-sorting solution

- Preprocess: **suffix sort** the text.
- Query: **binary search** for query; scan until mismatch.

KWIC search for "search" in Tale of Two Cities

```

:
632698 s e a l e d _ m y _ l e t t e r _ a n d _ ...
713727 s e a m s t r e s s _ i s _ l i f t e d _ ...
660598 s e a m s t r e s s _ o f _ t w e n t y _ ...
67610 s e a m s t r e s s _ w h o _ w a s _ w i ...
(4430) s e a r c h _ f o r _ c o n t r a b a n d ...
42705 s e a r c h _ f o r _ y o u r _ f a t h e ...
499797 s e a r c h _ o f _ h e r _ h u s b a n d ...
182045 s e a r c h _ o f _ i m p o v e r i s h e ...
143399 s e a r c h _ o f _ o t h e r _ c a r r i ...
411801 s e a r c h _ t h e _ s t r a w _ h o l d ...
158410 s e a r e d _ m a r k i n g _ a b o u t _ ...
691536 s e a s _ a n d _ m a d a m e _ d e f a r ...
536569 s e a s e _ a _ t e r r i b l e _ p a s s ...
484763 s e a s e _ t h a t _ h a d _ b r o u g h ...
:

```

## War story

- Q. How to efficiently form (and sort) suffixes?

```

String[] suffixes = new String[N];
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    suffixes[i] = s.substring(i, N);

Arrays.sort(suffixes);

```



3rd printing

input file	characters	Java 7u4	Java 7u5
amendments.txt	18 thousand	0.25 sec	2.0 sec
aesop.txt	192 thousand	1.0 sec	out of memory
mobydick.txt	1.2 million	7.6 sec	out of memory
chromosome11.txt	7.1 million	61 sec	out of memory


55

## The String data type: Java 7u4 implementation

```

public final class String implements Comparable<String>
{
    private char[] value; // characters
    private int offset; // index of first char in array
    private int length; // length of string
    private int hash; // cache of hashCode()
    ...
}

```





## The String data type: Java 7u5 implementation

```

public final class String implements Comparable<String>
{
    private char[] value; // characters
    private int hash; // cache of hashCode()
    ...
}

```



56

57

## The String data type: performance

[String data type \(in Java\)](#). Sequence of characters (immutable).

[Java 7u4](#). Immutable char[] array, offset, length, hash cache.

[Java 7u5](#). Immutable char[] array, hash cache.

operation	Java 7u4	Java 7u5
length	1	1
indexing	1	1
substring extraction	1	N
concatenation	$M + N$	$M + N$
immutable?	✓	✓
memory	$64 + 2N$	$56 + 2N$

58

## A Reddit exchange

I'm the author of the `substring()` change. As has been suggested in the analysis here there were two motivations for the change

- Reduce the size of String instances. Strings are typically 20-40% of common apps footprint.
- Avoid memory leakage caused by retained substrings holding the entire character array.



bondolo

Changing this function, in a bugfix release no less, was totally irresponsible. It broke backwards compatibility for numerous applications with errors that didn't even produce a message, just freezing and timeouts... All pain, no gain. Your work was not just vain, it was thoroughly destructive, even beyond its immediate effect.



cypherpunks

[http://www.reddit.com/r/programming/comments/1qw73v/til\\_oracle\\_changed\\_the\\_internal\\_string](http://www.reddit.com/r/programming/comments/1qw73v/til_oracle_changed_the_internal_string)


59

## Suffix sort

[Q. How to efficiently form \(and sort\) suffixes in Java 7u5?](#)

[A. Define Suffix class ala Java 7u4 String.](#)

```
public class Suffix implements Comparable<Suffix>
{
    private final String text;
    private final int offset;
    public Suffix(String s, int offset)
    {
        this.text = text;
        this.offset = offset;
    }
    public int length() { return text.length() - offset; }
    public char charAt(int i) { return text.charAt(offset + i); }
    public int compareTo(Suffix that) { /* see textbook */ }
}
```



## Suffix sort

[Q. How to efficiently form \(and sort\) suffixes in Java 7u5?](#)

[A. Define Suffix class ala Java 7u4 String.](#)

```
String[] suffixes = new String[N];
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    suffixes[i] = new Suffix(s, i);
Arrays.sort(suffixes);
```



4<sup>th</sup> printing

60

61

## Lessons learned

**Lesson 1.** Put performance guarantees in API.

**Lesson 2.** If API has no performance guarantees, don't rely upon any!

**Corollary.** May want to avoid String data type for huge strings.

- Are you sure charAt() and length() take constant time?
- If lots of calls to charAt(), overhead for function calls is large.
- If lots of small strings, memory overhead of String is large.

**Ex.** Our optimized algorithm for suffix arrays is 5x faster and uses 32x less memory than our original solution in Java 7u4!

## Suffix Arrays: theory

**Q.** What is worst-case running time of our suffix arrays algorithm?

- Quadratic.
- Linearithmic.
- Linear.
- None of the above.  $\leftarrow N^2 \log N$

suffixes	
0	a a a a a a a a a a
1	a a a a a a a a a a
2	a a a a a a a a a a
3	a a a a a a a a a a
4	a a a a a a a a a a
5	a a a a a a a a a a
6	a a a a a a a a a a
7	a a a a a a a a a a
8	a a a a a a a a a a
9	a a a a a a a a a a


62

63

## Suffix Arrays: theory

**Q.** What is complexity of suffix arrays?

- Quadratic.
- Linearithmic.  $\leftarrow$  Manber-Myers algorithm (see video)
- ✓ • Linear.  $\leftarrow$  suffix trees (beyond our scope)
- Nobody knows.



## Suffix Arrays: practice

**Applications.** Bioinformatics, information retrieval, data compression, ...

**Many ingenious algorithms.**

- Memory footprint very important.
- State-of-the art still changing.

year	algorithm	worst case	memory
1990	<b>Manber-Myers</b>	$N \log N$	$8N$
1999	<b>Larsson-Sadakane</b>	$N \log N$	$8N$
2003	<b>Kärkkäinen-Sanders</b>	$N$	$13N$
2003	<b>Ko-Aluru</b>	$N$	$10N$
2008	<b>divsufsort2</b>	$N \log N$	$5N$
2010	<b>sais</b>	$N$	$6N$

good choices (Yuta Mori)

64

65

## String sorting summary

---

We can develop linear-time sorts.

- Key compares not necessary for string keys.
- Use characters as index in an array.

We can develop sublinear-time sorts.

- Input size is amount of data in keys (not number of keys).
- Not all of the data has to be examined.

3-way string quicksort is asymptotically optimal.

- $1.39 N \lg N$  chars for random data.

Long strings are rarely random in practice.

- Goal is often to learn the structure!
- May need specialized algorithms.