

COS 522: Complexity Theory : Boaz Barak

Handout 8: PCP Theorem I: Outline and Alphabet Reduction

Reading: Chapter 18

Two views of the PCP Theorem:

Approximation Algorithms	Probabilistically Checkable Proofs
<p>Def: A ρ-approximates 3SAT if for every 3CNF φ, $A(\varphi)$ is an assignment satisfying a $\rho \text{val}(\varphi)$ fraction of φ's clauses.</p> <p>Thm 1: If \exists ptime 0.999 approx alg for 3SAT then $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$. In fact, \exists ptime R such that (1) $\varphi \in 3\text{SAT} \implies \text{val}(R(\varphi)) = 1$ (2) $\varphi \notin 3\text{SAT} \implies \text{val}(R(\varphi)) < 0.999$.</p>	<p>Def: $L \in \mathbf{PCP}(r, q)$ if there's a random-access verifier with r random bits and q queries satisfying Completeness: $x \in L \implies \exists \pi \Pr[V^\pi(x) = 1] = 1$ and Soundness: $x \notin L \implies \forall \pi \Pr[V^\pi(x) = 1] \leq 1/2$.</p> <p>Thm 2: $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{PCP}(O(\log n), 100)$</p>

Can change 0.999 to $7/8 + \epsilon$ and 100 to 3 by a slight relaxation of completeness (1 changes to $1 - \epsilon$) and soundness ($1/2$ changes to $1/2 + \epsilon$).

Equivalence of two views: Definition of CSP, ρ -GAP q CSP.

Thm 3: $\exists q$ ρ -GAP q CSP is \mathbf{NP} -hard.

Thm 1 \implies Thm 2 \implies Thm 3 \implies Thm 1.

Summary of notations:

Approx view		PCP view
CSP instance (φ)	\longleftrightarrow	PCP verifier (V)
Assignment to variables (\mathbf{u})	\longleftrightarrow	PCP proof (π)
Number of variables (n)	\longleftrightarrow	Length of proof
Arity of constraints (q)	\longleftrightarrow	Number of queries (q)
Logarithm of number of constraints ($\log m$)	\longleftrightarrow	Number of random bits (r)
Maximum of $\text{val}(\varphi)$ for a NO instance	\longleftrightarrow	Soundness parameter
Thms 2,3 (ρ -GAP q CSP is \mathbf{NP} -hard)	\longleftrightarrow	Thm 1 ($\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{PCP}(\log n, O(1))$)

Hardness of approximation for independent set

$\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{PCP}(\text{poly}(n), 1)$ Exponential-sized PCP for quadratic equations.

Outline of proof of PCP Theorem

CSP problems with larger alphabet

Main Lemma: Def of CL Reductions.

	Arity	Alphabet	Constraints	Value
Original	q_0	binary	m	$1 - \epsilon$
	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
Main Lemma	q_0	binary	Cm	$1 - 2\epsilon$

Gap amplification and Alphabet Reduction Lemmas

	Arity	Alphabet	Constraints	Value
Original	q_0	binary	m	$1 - \epsilon$
	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
Gap Amplification	2	W	Cm	$1 - 6\epsilon$
	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow	\Downarrow
Alphabet Reduction	q_0	binary	$C'Cm$	$1 - 2\epsilon$

Proof of Alphabet Reduction

Homework Assignments

§1 (30 points) Using the PCP Theorem as a black-box, show that for every constant $\rho > 0$, the independent set problem is hard to approximate within a factor of ρ *without* using expander graphs.

§2 (30 points) Exercise 18.15 (10ϵ there should be changed to 10δ)

§3 (50 points) Exercise 18.16