

Per-Router Mechanisms

· Admission Control

- decide if a new flow can be supported
- answer depends on service class
- not the same as policing

Packet Processing

- classification: associate each packet with the appropriate reservation
- scheduling: manage queues so each packet receives the requested service

Spring 2002


CS 461

Reservation Protocol

- Called signaling in ATM
- Proposed Internet standard: RSVP •
- Consistent with robustness of today's connectionless model •
- Uses soft state (refresh periodically) Designed to support multicast •
- •
- Receiver-oriented ٠
- Two messages: PATH and RESV •
- Source transmits PATH messages every 30 seconds
- Destination responds with RESV message • •
- Merge requirements in case of multicast •
- Can specify number of speakers

Spring 2002

CS 461





RSVP versus ATM (Q.2931)

• RSVP

- receiver generates reservation
- soft state (refresh/timeout)
- separate from route establishment
 QoS can change dynamically
- gos can enange dynamic
 receiver heterogeneity

• ATM

- sender generates connection request
- hard state (explicit delete)
- concurrent with route establishment
- QoS is static for life of connection
- uniform QoS to all receivers

Spring 2002

CS 461

11

