

Routing Convergence

Lecture 10 Kyle Jamieson COS 461: *Computer Networks*

Routing Changes

- Topology changes: new route to the same place
- Host mobility: route to a different place

Topology Changes

Two Types of Topology Changes

• Planned

- Maintenance: shut down a node or link
- Energy savings: shut down a node or link
- Traffic engineering: change routing configuration
- Unplanned Failures
	- Fiber cut, faulty equipment, power outage, software bugs, …

Detecting Topology Changes

Beaconing

- Periodic "hello" messages in both directions
- Detect a failure after a few missed "hellos"

- Performance trade-offs
	- Detection delay
	- Overhead on link bandwidth and CPU
	- Likelihood of false detection

Routing Convergence: Link-State Routing

Convergence

- Control plane
	- All nodes have consistent information
- Data plane
	- All nodes forward packets in a consistent way

Transient Disruptions

- Detection delay
	- A node does not detect a failed link immediately
	- … and forwards data packets into a "blackhole"
	- Depends on timeout for detecting lost hellos

Transient Disruptions

- Inconsistent link-state database
	- Some routers know about failure before others
	- Inconsistent paths cause transient forwarding loops

Convergence Delay

- Sources of convergence delay
	- Detection latency
	- Updating control-plane information
	- Computing and install new forwarding tables
- Performance during convergence period
	- **Lost packets** due to blackholes and TTL expiry
	- **Looping packets** consuming resources
	- **Out-of-order packets** reaching the destination
- Very bad for VoIP, online gaming, and video

Slow Convergence in Distance-Vector Routing

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

 t_{Ω}

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

 $t₁$

DY = Distances known to Y

 t_{2}

13

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

DY = Distances known to Y

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

"good news travels fast"

• Link cost increases and failures

– **"Count to infinity"** problem!

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{b}' & \text{x} & \text{b}' & \text{c} \\
\hline\n\text{b}' & \text{x} & \text{c}' & \text{c} \\
\hline\n\end{array} \\
\text{to: } x & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} \\
\hline\n\text{to: } x & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} \\
\hline\n\text{to: } x & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\text{time} \quad\n\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{via} & \text{b}' & \text{x} & \text{y} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\text{time} \quad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{via} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} & \text{to} \\
\end{array}
$$

Distance Vector: Link Cost Increase

• Link cost **increases and failures**

– **"Count to infinity"** problem!

Distance Vector: Poison Reverse

• If Z routes through Y to X, then Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is **∞**

(so Y won't route to X via Z)

Distance Vector: Poison Reverse

• Can still have problems in larger networks

- 1. A and B use ACD and BCD, so A and B both "poison" to C.
- 2. But when CD withdrawn (cost goes to infinity), B switches to BACD, so BC no longer poisoned to C.
- 3. C then starts using CBACD. Loop.

Redefining Infinity

• Avoid "counting to infinity"

– By making "infinity" smaller!

- Routing Information Protocol (RIP)
	- All links have cost 1
	- Valid path distances of 1 through 15
	- … with 16 representing infinity
- Used mainly in small networks

Reducing Convergence Time With Path-Vector Routing

(*e.g.:* Border Gateway Protocol)

Path-Vector Routing

- Extension of distance-vector routing
	- Support flexible routing policies
	- Avoid count-to-infinity problem
- Key idea: advertise the entire path
	- Distance vector: send distance metric per dest d
	- Path vector: send the entire path for each dest d

Faster Loop Detection

- Node can easily detect a loop
	- Look for its own node identifier in the path
	- $-$ E.g., node 1 sees itself in the path "3, 2, 1"
- Node can simply discard paths with loops
	- E.g., node 1 simply discards the advertisement

BGP Session Failure

- BGP runs over TCP
	- BGP only sends updates when changes occur
	- TCP doesn't detect lost connectivity on its own
- Detecting a failure – Keep-alive: 60 seconds – Hold timer: 180 seconds • Reacting to a failure – Discard all routes learned from neighbor **AS1 AS2**
	- Send new updates for any routes that change

Routing Change: Before and After

Routing Change: Path Exploration

- AS 1
	- Delete the route (1,0)
	- Switch to next route (1,2,0)
	- $-$ Send route $(1,2,0)$ to AS 3
- AS 3
	- Sees (1,2,0) replace (1,0)
	- Compares to route (2,0)
	- Switches to using AS 2

Routing Change: Path Exploration

 $(1,0)$

 $(1,2,0)$

(1,3,0)

- Initial: All AS use direct
- Then destination 0 dies
	- All ASes lose direct path
	- All switch to longer paths
	- Eventually withdrawn
- How many intermediate routes following (2,0) withdrawal until no route known to 2?

 $(2,0) \rightarrow (2,1,0) \rightarrow (2,3,0) \rightarrow (2,1,3,0) \rightarrow \text{null}$

 $,2$ $1 \leftarrow 2$ $(2,1,3,0)$ $(3,0)$ (3,1,0) $(3,2,0)$

 $Z,0$

 $(2,1,0)$

 $(2,3,0)$

3

0

BGP Converges Slowly

- Path vector avoids count-to-infinity
	- But, ASes still must explore many alternate paths to find highest-ranked available path
- Fortunately, in practice
	- Most popular destinations have stable BGP routes
	- Most instability lies in a few unpopular destinations
- Still, lower BGP convergence delay is a goal – Can be tens of seconds to tens of minutes

BGP Instability

Stable Paths Problem (SPP) Instance

- Node
	- BGP-speaking router – Node 0 is destination
- Edge – BGP adjacency
- Permitted paths
	- **1 Set** of routes to 0 at each node
	- **Ranking** of the paths

SPP Solution

- Solution is:
	- Path assignments per node
		- Can be the "null" path
- If node u has path uwP
	- $-$ {u, w} is edge in graph
	- w is assigned path wP
- Each node is assigned

– Highest ranked path consistent with its neighbors

2 1 0

2

2 0

2 5 5 2 1 0

3 0

4 2 0

4 3 0

4

Stable Paths Problem (SPP) Instance

• 1 will use a direct path to 0 (Y) True (M) False

• 5 has a path to 0 (Y) True (M) False

Stable Paths Problem (SPP) Instance

- 1 will use a direct path to 0 (Y) True $|(M)$ False
- 5 has a path to 0 (Y) True $|(M)$ False

An SPP May Have No Solution

Avoiding BGP Instability

- Detecting conflicting policies
	- Computationally expensive
	- Requires too much cooperation
- Detecting oscillations
	- Observing the repetitive BGP routing messages
- Restricted routing policies and topologies
	- Policies based on business relationships

Conclusion

- The only constant is change
	- Planned topology and configuration changes
	- Unplanned failure and recovery
- Routing-protocol convergence
	- Transient period of disagreement
	- Blackholes, loops, and out-of-order packets
- Routing instability
	- Permanent conflicts in routing policy
	- Leading to bi-stability or oscillation