Why Facebook, Instagram, and **WhatsApp All Went Down Today**

The problem relates to something called BGP routing, and it could take a while longer to resolve.

Class Meeting: Lectures 9 & 10: Routing and Convergence Kyle Jamieson COS 461: Computer Networks

Routing vs. Forwarding

- **Routing:** control plane
	- Computing paths the packets will follow
	- Routers talk among themselves

\rightarrow Create the forwarding tables

• **Forwarding:** data plane

–Directing a data packet to an outgoing link

–Using the forwarding tables

Shortest-Path Problem

- Compute: *path costs* to all nodes
	- **From** a given source *u,* **to** all other nodes
	- Edges: *Cost* of the path through each outgoing link
	- Next hop along the least-cost path to s

Link State Routing

Link State: Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Flood the topology information to all nodes
- Each node computes shortest paths to other nodes

Link-State Routing Example

Link-State Routing Example (cont.)

Link State: Shortest-Path Tree

• Shortest-path tree from u • Forwarding table at u

Link State: Shortest-Path Tree

Find shortest path **from** *t* **to** *v*

• Forwarding table entry at *t*? A. (t,x) **z**

B.
$$
(t, s)
$$

C. (t, v)

Link State: Shortest-Path Tree

Find shortest path **from** *t* **to** *v*

• Distance from *t* to *v*? A.) 5 B.) 6 C.) 7 D.) 8

E.) 9

Routing Convergence: Link-State Routing

Transient Disruptions

- Detection delay
	- A node does not detect a failed link immediately
	- … and forwards data packets into a "blackhole"
	- Depends on timeout for detecting lost hellos

Transient Disruptions

- Inconsistent link-state database
	- Some routers know about failure before others
	- Inconsistent paths cause transient forwarding loops

Distance Vector Routing

Distance Vector: Bellman-Ford Algo

- Define distances at each node x
	- $d_x(y)$ = cost of least-cost path from x to y
- Update distances based on neighbors
	- $d_x(y) = min \{c(x, v) + d_y(y)\}\$ over all neighbors v

Distance Vector Example

$$
d_y(z) = 1
$$

$$
d_x(z) = 4
$$

 $d_v(z) = min\{2+d_v(z),$ $1+d_{x}(z)$ } **= 3**

Distance Vector Example (Cont.)

 $d_w(z) = min\{ 1+d_x(z),$ $4+d_s(z)$, $2+d_u(z)$ } **= 5**

 $d_u(z) = ?$?? **A.) 5 (via v) B.) 6 (via v) C.) 6 (via w) D.) 7 (via w)**

Slow Convergence in Distance-Vector Routing

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

 $t_{\rm O}$

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

 $t₁$

DY = Distances known to Y

 t_{2}

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

DY = Distances known to Y

- Link cost decreases and recovery
	- Node updates the distance table

– **Rule:** Least-cost path's cost changed? notify neighbors

"good news travels fast"

• Link cost increases and failures

– **"Count to infinity"** problem!

Distance Vector: Link Cost Increase

• Link cost **increases and failures**

– **"Count to infinity"** problem!

Distance Vector: Poison Reverse

Path Vector Routing

Path-Vector Routing

- Extension of distance-vector routing
	- Support flexible routing policies
- Key idea: advertise the entire path
	- Distance vector: send *distance metric* per dest d
	- Path vector: send the *entire path* for each dest d

Path-Vector: Flexible Policies

- Each node can apply local policies
	- Path selection: Which path to use?
	- Path export: Which paths to advertise?

Node 2 prefers "2, 3, 1" over "2, 1"

Node 1 doesn't let 3 hear the path "1, 2"

Reducing Convergence Time With Path-Vector Routing

(*e.g.:* Border Gateway Protocol)

Faster Loop Detection

- Node can easily detect a loop
	- Look for its own node identifier in the path
	- $-$ E.g., node 1 sees itself in the path "3, 2, 1"
- Node can simply discard paths with loops
	- E.g., node 1 simply discards the advertisement

BGP Session Failure

- BGP runs over TCP
	- BGP only sends updates when changes occur
	- TCP doesn't detect lost connectivity on its own

AS1

- Detecting a failure
	- Keep-alive: 60 seconds
	- Hold timer: 180 seconds
- Reacting to a failure
	- Discard all routes learned from neighbor
	- Send new updates for any routes that change

AS2

Routing Change: Before and After

Routing Change: Path Exploration

0

 $1 \overline{\rightarrow}$ 2

 $(1,2,0)$

3

- AS 1
	- Delete the route (1,0)
	- Switch to next route (1,2,0)
	- $-$ Send route $(1,2,0)$ to AS 3
- AS 3
	- Sees (1,2,0) replace (1,0)
	- Compares to route (2,0)
	- Switches to using AS 2

(2,0)

 $(3,2,0)$

Routing Change: Path Exploration

 \bigoplus

 $(1,2,0)$

 $(1,3,0)$

 $,2$

 $(3,2,0)$

(3,0)

 $(3,1,0)$

 $(2,0)$

 $(2,1,0)$

 $(2,3,0)$

 $(2,1,3,0)$

1 2

0

3

- Initial: All AS use direct
- Then destination 0 dies
	- All ASes lose direct path
	- All switch to longer paths
	- Eventually withdrawn
- How many intermediate routes following (2,0) withdrawal until no route known to 2?

(A.) 0; (B.) 1; (C.) 2; (D.) 3; (E.) 4

BGP Converges Slowly

- Path vector avoids count-to-infinity
	- But, ASes still must explore many alternate paths to find highest-ranked available path
- Fortunately, in practice
	- Most popular destinations have stable BGP routes
	- Most instability lies in a few unpopular destinations
- Still, lower BGP convergence delay is a goal – Can be tens of seconds to tens of minutes

Conclusion

- Routing protocols cope with change
	- Planned topology and configuration changes
	- Unplanned failure and recovery

- Routing-protocol convergence
	- Transient period of disagreement
	- Blackholes, loops, and out-of-order packets