

Problem 1. Implement a queue using a constant number of stacks so that m queue operations starting with an empty queue take cm stack operations for some constant c .

Solution. Two stacks, F (front) and B (back), suffice. To inject x into the queue, push it on B . To pop from the queue, if F is non-empty, pop from F . If both F and B are empty, throw an exception. If F is empty but B is not, successively pop the items on B and push them on F ; once B is empty, pop the top item on F and return it. from B .

Correctness. The algorithm maintains the invariant that the order of items on the queue is the same as the order of the items on F top to bottom followed by the items on B bottom to top. The algorithm maintains this invariant when it pops an item from F (the first item on the queue) or pushes an item on B (the last item on the queue). When F is empty, successively popping the items on B and pushing them on F preserves the invariant, since the items end up on F in the reverse of their order on B .

Efficiency. We prove that m_1 inject and m_2 intermixed pop operations on the queue take at most $3m_1 + m_2$ pushes and pops on the stacks. To do this we allocate credits to each queue operation. Each credit can pay for one push or pop operation. Specifically, we allocate 3 credits to each inject and 1 credit to each pop. We prove that the algorithm maintains the *credit invariant* that $|B|$ credits are unspent. The invariant gives the bound.

An inject gets three credits. One is spent to push the item on B . This increases $|B|$ by one. The additional two credits allocated to the inject are unspent and preserve the credit invariant.

A queue pop gets one credit. If F is non-empty, this credit pays for the stack pop that executes the queue pop. More interesting is what happens when F is empty. In this case the $2|B|$ unspent credits satisfying the credit invariant pay for popping each item on B and pushing it on F . Once this is done, B is empty, so the credit invariant requires no saved credits and still holds. The credit allocated to the queue pop now pays for the pop of the returned item from F .

Remark. Instead of pushing the last item popped from B onto F , we could merely return it. This saves two stack operations each time B is emptied: the total number of stack operations becomes $3m_1 + m_2 - 2e$, where e is the number of times B is emptied.

Remark. In this simple example, we could use the more local credit invariant that each item on B has two unspent credits, used to pay for moving it to F .

Problem 2. Implement a deque using a constant number of stacks so that m queue operations starting with an empty queue take cm stack operations for some constant c .

Solution. Three stacks, F , B , and A , suffice. The order of the items on the queue is the same as in the solution to Problem 1: the items on F top to bottom followed by those on B bottom to top.

We use the auxiliary stack A to re-establish the correct order of items on F and B when F is empty and a pop is done, or B is empty and an eject is done.

To push an item on the deque, push it on F ; to inject an item into the deque, push it on B . To pop an item from the deque, pop it from F unless F is empty. If F and B are empty, throw an exception. If F is empty but B is not, let k be the number of items on B . Successively pop $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ items on B and push them on A . Successively pop all of the remaining items on B and push them on F . Successively pop each item on A and push it back on B . Now the items on F and B are in the correct order, but F is non-empty. Pop F and return the popped item. An eject is symmetric to a pop.

Correctness. Each operation maintains the correct order of F and B .

Efficiency. The analysis is a combination of the analysis in the solution to Problem 1 and that in the analysis of array resizing. We allocate enough credits to each deque operation to maintain a number of saved credits sufficient to pay for the shuffling that takes place when F is empty and a pop is done, or B is empty and an eject is done. If F is empty and B contains k elements, the shuffling takes $4\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 2\lceil k/2 \rceil \leq 3k$ stack operations. After the shuffling, F and B are the same size (to within one). We use the following credit invariant: the number of saved credits is at least $3\|F\| - \|B\|$. Allocation of four credits to each deque operation suffices to maintain the credit invariant. (Exercise: verify this.) We conclude that the total number of stack operations to execute m deque operations is at most $4m$.