### 1.4 Analysis of Algorithms



- observations
- mathematical models
- order-of-growth classifications
- dependencies on inputs
- memory

Algorithms, $4^{\text {th }}$ Edition

Programmer needs to develop
a working solution.


Client wants to solve problem efficiently.

Student might play any or all of these roles someday.


Theoretician wants to understand.


Basic blocking and tackling is sometimes necessary. [this lecture]

## Running time

" As soon as an Analytic Engine exists, it will necessarily guide the future course of the science. Whenever any result is sought by its aid, the question will arise-By what course of calculation can these results be arrived at by the machine in the shortest time? " - Charles Babbage (1864)


Analytic Engine

Reasons to analyze algorithms


Primary practical reason: avoid performance bugs.

client gets poor performance because programmer did not understand performance characteristics


Discrete Fourier transform.

- Break down waveform of $N$ samples into periodic components.
- Applications: DVD, JPEG, MRI, astrophysics, ....
- Brute force: $N^{2}$ steps.
- FFT algorithm: $N \log N$ steps, enables new technology.

Friedrich Gauss 1805


The challenge
Q. Will my program be able to solve a large practical input?


Key insight. [Knuth 1970s] Use scientific method to understand performance.

N -body simulation.

- Simulate gravitational interactions among $N$ bodies.
- Brute force: $N^{2}$ steps.
- Barnes-Hut algorithm: $N \log N$ steps, enables new research.



Scientific method applied to analysis of algorithms

## A framework for predicting performance and comparing algorithms.

## Scientific method.

- Observe some feature of the natural world.
- Hypothesize a model that is consistent with the observations.
- Predict events using the hypothesis.
- Verify the predictions by making further observations.
- Validate by repeating until the hypothesis and observations agree.

Principles.

- Experiments must be reproducible.
- Hypotheses must be falsifiable.


Feature of the natural world = computer itself.

3-sum. Given $N$ distinct integers, how many triples sum to exactly zero?

```
% more 8ints.txt
8
30 -40 -20 -10 40 0 10 5
% java ThreeSum < 8ints.txt
4
```

|  | $a[i]$ | $a[j]$ | $a[k]$ | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30 | -40 | 10 | 0 |
| 2 | 30 | -20 | -10 | 0 |
| 3 | -40 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | -10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |

## Context. Deeply related to problems in computational geometry.

Measuring the running time
Q. How to time a program?
A. Manual.

\% java ThreeSum < 1Kints.txt


70
\% java ThreeSum < 2Kints.txt
tick tick tick tick tick tick tick tick
 528
\% java ThreeSum < 4Kints.txt
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Q. How to time a program?
A. Automatic.

| public class | Stopwatch |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Stopwatch() | create a new stopwatch |  |
| double | elapsedTime () | time since creation (in seconds) |

```
public static void main(String[] args)
{
    int[] a = StdArrayIO.readInt1D();
    Stopwatch stopwatch = new Stopwatch();
    StdOut.println(ThreeSum.count(a))
    double time = stopwatch.elapsedTime();
}
```

Empirical analysis

Run the program for various input sizes and measure running time.

| $\mathbf{N}$ | time (seconds) + |
| :---: | :---: |
| 250 | 0.0 |
| 500 | 0.0 |
| 1,000 | 0.1 |
| 2,000 | 0.8 |
| 4,000 | 6.4 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 16,000 | $?$ |

Q. How to time a program?
A. Automatic.

| public class | Stopwatch |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Stopwatch () | create a new stopwatch |  |
| double | elapsedTime() | time since creation (in seconds) |

public class Stopwatch
$\{$
private final long start = System. currentTimeMillis();
public double elapsedTime()
\{
long now $=$ System.currentTimeMillis(); return (now - start) / 1000.0; \}
\}

Data analysis

Standard plot. Plot running time $T(N)$ vs. input size $N$.


## Prediction and validation

Log-log plot. Plot running time vs. input size $N$ using $\log -\log$ scale.

log-log plot | $51.2-1$ |
| :---: |
| 25.6 |
| 12.8 |

Regression. Fit straight line through data points: $a N^{b}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lg (T(N))=b \lg N+c \\
& b=2.999 \\
& c=-33.2103 \\
& T(N)=a N^{b}, \text { where } a=2^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hypothesis. The running time is about $1.006 \times 10^{-10} \times N^{2.999}$ seconds.

## Doubling hypothesis

## Doubling hypothesis. Quick way to estimate $b$ in a power-law hypothesis.

Run program, doubling the size of the input.

| N | time (seconds) + | ratio | $\lg$ ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | 0.0 |  | - |
| 500 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 2.3 |
| 1,000 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.8 |
| 2,000 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 2.9 |
| 4,000 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 | 8.0 | 3.0 |

Hypothesis. Running time is about $a N^{b}$ with $b=\lg$ ratio.
Caveat. Cannot identify logarithmic factors with doubling hypothesis.

Hypothesis. The running time is about $1.006 \times 10^{-10} \times N^{2.999}$ seconds.

Predictions.

- 51.0 seconds for $N=8,000$.
- 408.1 seconds for $N=16,000$.


## Observations.

| N | time (seconds) $+\mathrm{51.1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8,000 | 51.0 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 8,000 | 410.8 |
| 16,000 |  |

validates hypothesis!

Doubling hypothesis
Doubling hypothesis. Quick way to estimate $b$ in a power-law hypothesis.
Q. How to estimate $a$ ?
A. Run the program!

| N | time (seconds) + |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |
| 8,000 | 51.0 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 |

$51.1=a \times 8000^{3}$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad a=9.98 \times 10^{-11}
$$

Hypothesis. Running time is about $9.98 \times 10^{-11} \times N^{3}$ seconds.
almost identical hypothesis
to one obtained via linear regression

## System independent effects.

- Algorithm. $\qquad$ determines exponent $b$
- Input data.

System dependent effects.

- Hardware: CPU, memory, cache, ...
- Software: compiler, interpreter, garbage collector, ...
- System: operating system, network, other applications, ...


## Bad news. Difficult to get precise measurements.

Good news. Much easier and cheaper than other sciences.


String s = StdIn.readString (); int $\mathrm{N}=$ s.length () ;
for (int $i=0 ; i<N ; i++)$
for (int $\mathrm{j}=0$; $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{N}$; $\mathrm{j}++$ ) distance[i][j] =

| N | time |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 0.11 |
| 2,000 | 0.35 |
| 4,000 | 1.6 |
| 8,000 | 6.5 |

Jenny $\sim c_{1} N^{2}$ seconds


Kenny $\sim \mathrm{c}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ seconds

Mathematical models for running time

Total running time: sum of cost $\times$ frequency for all operations.

- Need to analyze program to determine set of operations.
- Cost depends on machine, compiler.
- Frequency depends on algorithm, input data.


In principle, accurate mathematical models are available.

| operation | example | nanoseconds $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| integer add | $a+b$ | 2.1 |
| integer multiply | a * b | 2.4 |
| integer divide | $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ | 5.4 |
| floating-point add | $a+b$ | 4.6 |
| floating-point multiply | $\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~b}$ | 4.2 |
| floating-point divide | $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ | 13.5 |
| sine | Math.sin(theta) | 91.3 |
| arctangent | Math. $\operatorname{atan} 2(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x})$ | 129.0 |
| ... | ... | $\ldots$ |

$\dagger$ Running OS X on Macbook Pro 2.2 GHz with 2GB RAM

| operation | example | nanoseconds $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variable declaration | int a | $c_{1}$ |
| assignment statement | $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| integer compare | $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$ | C3 |
| array element access | a [i] | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |
| array length | a. length | C5 |
| 1D array allocation | new int[ N ] | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~N}$ |
| 2D array allocation | new int[ N ] [ N ] | $C_{7} \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ |
| string length | s.length () | C8 |
| substring extraction | s.substring ( $\mathrm{N} / 2, \mathrm{~N}$ ) | C9 |
| string concatenation | $s+t$ | $\mathrm{c}_{10} \mathrm{~N}$ |

Novice mistake. Abusive string concatenation.

Example: 2-sum

## Q. How many instructions as a function of input size $N$ ?



Cost model. Use some basic operation as a proxy for running time.
int count $=0$;
for (int $i=0 ; i<N ; i++)$
for (int $j=i+1 ; ~ j<N ; j++$ )
if $(a[i]+a[j]==0)$
count++;

Simplification 2: tilde notation

- Estimate running time (or memory) as a function of input size $N$.
- Ignore lower order terms.
- when $N$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $N$ is small, we don' $\dagger$ care

| operation | frequency | tilde notation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variable declaration | $N+2$ | $\sim N$ |
| assignment statement | $N+2$ | $\sim N$ |
| less than compare | $1 / 2(N+1)(N+2)$ | $\sim 1 / 2 N^{2}$ |
| equal to compare | $1 / 2 N(N-1)$ | $\sim 1 / 2 N^{2}$ |
| array access | $N(N-1)$ | $\sim N^{2}$ |
| increment | $N$ to $2 N$ | $\sim N$ to $\sim 2 N$ |

- Estimate running time (or memory) as a function of input size $N$.
- Ignore lower order terms.
- when $N$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $N$ is small, we don' $\dagger$ care

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Ex 1. } & 1 / 6 N^{3}+20 N+16 & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3} \\
\text { Ex 2. } & 1 / 6 N^{3}+100 N^{4 / 3}+56 & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3} \\
\text { Ex 3. } & 1 / 6 N^{3}-\underbrace{1 / 2 N^{2}+1 / 3 N}_{\substack{\text { discard lower-order terms } \\
\text { (e.g., } \mathrm{N}=1000: 500 \text { thousand vs. } 166 \text { million) }}} & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3}
\end{array}
$$



Leading-term approximation

$$
\text { Technical definition. } f(N) \sim g(N) \text { means } \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)}=1
$$

Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $N$ ?


Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify frequency counts.
Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $N$ ?


Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify frequency counts.

Mathematical models for running time
In principle, accurate mathematical models are available.

In practice,

- Formulas can be complicated.
- Advanced mathematics might be required.
- Exact models best left for experts.

costs (depend on machine, compiler)


Bottom line. We use approximate models in this course: $T(N) \sim c N^{3}$.
Q. How to estimate a discrete sum?

A1. Take COS 340.
A2. Replace the sum with an integral, and use calculus!

Ex 1. $1+2+\ldots+N$.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} i \sim \int_{x=1}^{N} x d x \sim \frac{1}{2} N^{2}
$$

Ex 2. $1+1 / 2+1 / 3+\ldots+1 / N$.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{i} \sim \int_{x=1}^{N} \frac{1}{x} d x=\ln N
$$

Ex 3. 3-sum triple loop. $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i}^{N} \sum_{k=j}^{N} 1 \sim \int_{x=1}^{N} \int_{y=x}^{N} \int_{z=y}^{N} d z d y d x \sim \frac{1}{6} N^{3}$ 35

## Good news. the small set of functions

$$
1, \log N, N, N \log N, N^{2}, N^{3}, \text { and } 2^{N}
$$

suffices to describe order-of-growth of typical algorithms.


| growth rate | name | typical code framework | description | example | $\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~N}) / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | constant | $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$; | statement | add two numbers | 1 |
| $\log \mathrm{N}$ | logarithmic |  | divide in half | binary search | $\sim 1$ |
| N | linear | $\begin{gathered} \text { for (int } i=0 ; i<n ; i++) \\ f \ldots \end{gathered}$ | loop | find the maximum | 2 |
| $N \log N$ | linearithmic | [see mergesort lecture] | divide and conquer | mergesort | $\sim 2$ |
| $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | quadratic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for (int } i=0 ; i<N ; i++) \\ & \text { for }(\text { int } j=0 ; j<N ; j++) \\ & \{1 \ldots \end{aligned}$ | double loop | check all pairs | 4 |
| $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ | cubic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for (int } i=0 ; i<N ; i++) \\ & \text { for }(\text { int } j=0 ; j<N ; j++) \\ & \text { for (int } k=0 ; k<N ; k++) \\ & \{\cdots \end{aligned}$ | triple loop | check all triples | 8 |
| $2^{N}$ | exponential | [see combinatorial search lecture] | exhaustive search | check all subsets | T(N) |

Practical implications of order-of-growth

| growth rate | problem size solvable in minutes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970s | 1980s | 1990s | 2000s |
| 1 | any | any | any | any |
| $\log N$ | any | any | any | any |
| $N$ | millions | tens of millions | hundreds of millions | billions |
| $N \log N$ | hundreds of thousands | millions | millions | hundreds of millions |
| $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | hundreds | thousand | thousands | tens of thousands |
| $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ | hundred | hundreds | thousand | thousands |
| $2^{N}$ | 20 | 20s | 20s | 30 |

Binary search
Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Successful search. Binary search for 33 .

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Successful search. Binary search for 33.

| 6 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 43 | 51 | 53 | 64 | 72 | 84 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | mid |  |  | hi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Successful search. Binary search for 33.

Binary search

Goal. Given a sorted array and a key, find index of the key in the array?

Successful search. Binary search for 33 .

Binary search: Java implementation

## Trivial to implement?

- First binary search published in 1946; first bug-free one published in 1962.
- Java bug in Arrays .binarySearch() not fixed until 2006.

```
public static int binarySearch(int[] a, int key)
{
    int lo = 0, hi = a.length-1
    while (lo <= hi)
    {
        int mid = 10 + (hi - lo) / 2;
        if (key < a[mid]) hi = mid - 1;
        else if (key > a[mid]) lo = mid + 1;
        else return mid;
    }
    return -1;
```

\}

Invariant. If key appears in the array a[], then a[10] $\leq k e y \leq a[h i]$.

Binary search: mathematical analysis

Proposition. Binary search uses at most $1+\lg N$ compares to search in a sorted array of size $N$.

Def. $T(N) \equiv$ \# compares to binary search in a sorted subarray of size $N$.

Binary search recurrence. $T(N) \leq T(N / 2)+1$ for $N>1$, with $T(1)=1$. $\uparrow$
Pf sketch.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(N) & \leq T(N / 2)+1 \\
& \leq T(N / 4)+1+1 \\
& \leq T(N / 8)+1+1+1 \\
& \ldots \\
& \leq T(N / N)+1+1+\ldots+1 \\
& =1+\lg N
\end{aligned}
$$

given
apply recurrence to first term
apply recurrence to first term
stop applying, $T(1)=1$

An $N^{2} \log N$ algorithm for 3-sum

Step 1. Sort the $N$ numbers.

Step 2. For each pair of numbers a[i] and a[j], binary search for - (a[i] $+a[j])$.

Analysis. Order of growth is $N^{2} \log N$.

- Step 1: $N^{2}$ with insertion sort.
- Step 2: $N^{2} \log N$ with binary search.

```
input
    30 -40 -20 -10 40 0 10
sort
-40
binary search
(-40, -20) 60
(-40, -10) 30
(-40, 0) 40
(-40, 5) 35
(-40, 10) 30
(-40, 40) 0
(-10, 0) 10
(-20, 10) 10, a[i] <a[j] <a[k]
(-20, 10) 10) & to avoid
(10,30)
(10, 40) -50
( 30, 40)
```


## Comparing programs

Hypothesis. The $N^{2} \log N$ three-sum algorithm is significantly faster in practice than the brute-force $N^{3}$ one.

| N | time (seconds) | N | time (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | 0.1 | 1,000 | 0.14 |
| 2,000 | 0.8 | 2,000 | 0.18 |
| 4,000 | 6.4 | 4,000 | 0.34 |
| 8,000 | 51.1 | 8,000 | 0.96 |
| ThreeSum.java |  | 16,000 | 3.67 |
|  |  | 32,000 | 14.88 |

ThreeSumDeluxe.java

Bottom line. Typically, better order of growth $\Rightarrow$ faster in practice.

## > dependencies on inputs

Best case. Lower bound on cost.

- Determined by "easiest" input.
- Provides a goal for all inputs.

Worst case. Upper bound on cost.

- Determined by "most difficult" input.
- Provides a guarantee for all inputs.

Average case. Expected cost for random input.

- Need a model for "random" input.
- Provides a way to predict performance.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ex 1. Array accesses for brute-force } 3 \text { sum. } \\
& \text { Best: } \quad \sim 1 / 2 N^{3} \\
& \text { Average: } \sim 1 / 2 N^{3} \\
& \text { Worst: } \sim 1 / 2 N^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ex 2. Compares for binary search. } \\
& \text { Best: } \quad \sim 1 \\
& \text { Average: } \sim \lg N \\
& \text { Worst: } \quad \sim \lg N
\end{aligned}
$$

Best case. Lower bound on cost.
Worst case. Upper bound on cost.
Average case. "Expected" cost.

Actual data might not match input model?

- Need to understand input to effectively process it.
- Approach 1: design for the worst case.
- Approach 2: randomize, depend on probabilistic guarantee.


## We use tilde notation whenever possible.

- Big-Oh notation suppresses leading constant.
- Big-Oh notation only provides upper bound (not lower bound).


Bit. 0 or 1.
Byte. 8 bits.
Megabyte (MB). 1 million bytes.
Gigabyte (GB). 1 billion bytes.

| type | bytes |
| :---: | :---: |
| boolean | 1 |
| byte | 1 |
| char | 2 |
| int | 4 |
| float | 4 |
| long | 8 |
| double | 8 |

for primitive types

Typical memory requirements for objects in Java
Object overhead. 8 bytes.
Reference. 4 bytes.

Ex 1. A Complex object consumes 24 bytes of memory.


Object overhead. 8 bytes.

## Reference. 4 bytes.

## Ex 2. A virgin string of length $N$ consumes $\sim 2 N$ bytes of memory.

\}


8 bytes (object overhead) 4 bytes (int)
private int offset; private int count; private int hash; private char[] value;

| object <br> overhead |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| value |  |
| offset | $\leftarrow$ reference |
| count |  |
| hash |  |

$$
2 \mathrm{~N}+40 \text { bytes }
$$

Q. How much memory does weightedQuickUnionuF use as a function of $N$ ?

```
public class WeightedQuickUnionUF
{
    private int[] id;
    private int[] sz;
    public WeightedQuickUnionUF(int N)
    pub
        id = new int[N];
        sz = new int[N]
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) sz[i] = 1;
    }
    public boolean find(int p, int q)
    { ... }
    public void union(int p, int q)
    { ... }
}
```

Turning the crank: summary

Empirical analysis.

- Execute program to perform experiments.
- Assume power law and formulate a hypothesis for running time.
- Model enables us to make predictions.

Mathematical analysis.

- Analyze algorithm to count frequency of operations.
- Use tilde notation to simplify analysis.
- Model enables us to explain behavior.


Scientific method.

- Mathematical model is independent of a particular system:
applies to machines not yet built.
- Empirical analysis is necessary to validate mathematical models and to make predictions.

