# **3.4 Priority Queues**

**‣** API

**‣** binary heaps **‣** heapsort

**‣** elementary implementations

**‣** event-based simulation

# Priority queue API





*Algorithms in Java, 4th Edition* · *Robert Sedgewick and Kevin Wayne* · *Copyright © 2009* · *October 8, 2009 2:42:15 PM*

# Priority queue applications

**arger** queue **orderkey** implementation

**node**data insert

ent **one** maximum≡ array a sort Queues

**Ora** 

heap

- Event-driven simulation. [customers in a line, colliding particles]
- Numerical computation. [reducing roundoff error]
- Data compression. [Huffman codes]
- Graph searching. [Dijkstra's algorithm, Prim's algorithm]
- Computational number theory. [sum of powers]
- Artificial intelligence. [A\* search]
- Statistics. [maintain largest M values in a sequence]
- Operating systems. [load balancing, interrupt handling]
- Discrete optimization. [bin packing, scheduling]
- Spam filtering. [Bayesian spam filter]

Generalizes: stack, queue, randomized queue.

# Priority queue client example

Problem. Find the largest M in a stream of N elements.

- Fraud detection: isolate \$\$ transactions.
- File maintenance: find biggest files or directories.

Constraint. Not enough memory to store N elements. Solution. Use a min-oriented priority queue.



 **System.out.println(pq.delMin());**



cost of finding the largest M in a stream of N items

#### 3

Priority queue: unordered and ordered array implementation



# **‣** elementary implementations

**‣** binary heaps

- 
- 

# $5$

# Priority queue: unordered array implementation



# Priority queue elementary implementations

Challenge. Implement all operations efficiently.



order-of-growth running time for PQ with N items

### Binary tree

Binary tree. Empty or node with links to left and right binary trees.

Complete tree. Perfectly balanced, except for bottom level.



Property. Height of complete tree with N nodes is  $1 + \lfloor \lg N \rfloor$ . Pf. Height only increases when N is exactly a power of 2.

Binary heap

Binary heap. Array representation of a heap-ordered complete binary tree.

**‣** binary heaps **‣** heapsort

# Heap-ordered binary tree.

- Keys in nodes.
- No smaller than children's keys.

#### Array representation.

- Take nodes in level order.
- No explicit links needed!



# Binary heap properties

9

Property A. Largest key is a[1], which is root of binary tree.

indices start at 1

Property B. Can use array indices to move through tree.

- Parent of node at **k** is at **k/2**.
- Children of node at **k** are at **2k** and **2k+1**.



Scenario. Node's key becomes larger key than its parent's key.

# To eliminate the violation:

- Exchange key in node with key in parent.
- Repeat until heap order restored.





Peter principle. Node promoted to level of incompetence.

13

# Demotion in a heap

Scenario. Node's key becomes smaller than one (or both) of its children's keys.

# To eliminate the violation:

- Exchange key in node with key in larger child.
- Repeat until heap order restored.

**private void sink(int k) {** while  $(2*k \leq N)$  **{** int  $j = 2*k$ ;  **if (j < N && less(j, j+1)) j++; if (!less(k, j)) break; exch(k, j);**  $k = j;$  **} }** children of node at k are 2k and 2k+1



# Insertion in a heap

Insert. Add node at end, then swim it up. Running time. At most  $\sim$  lg N compares.





# Delete the maximum in a heap

Delete max. Exchange root with node at end, then sink it down. Running time. At most  $\sim$  2 lg N compares.

**public Key delMax()** E I **{**  $Key max = pq[1];$  **exch(1, N--); sink(1); pq[N+1] = null;** P  **return max;** E **}**  prevent loitering H



Power struggle. Better subordinate promoted.



#### A E *insert* P Priority queues implementation cost summary



**in a heap** order-of-growth running time for PQ with N items

# Hopeless challenge. Make all operations constant time. Q. Why hopeless?

# Binary heap: Java implementation



# Binary heap considerations

# Minimum-oriented priority queue.

- Replace **less()** with **greater()**.
- Implement **greater()**.

### Dynamic array resizing.

- Add no-arg constructor.
- Apply repeated doubling and shrinking. <
used to O(log N) amortized time per op

# Immutability of keys.

- Assumption: client does not change keys while they're on the PQ.
- Best practice: use immutable keys.

#### Other operations.

- Remove an arbitrary item.
- Change the priority of an item.

easy to implement with **sink()** and **swim()** [stay tuned]

17

# Heapsort R

# Basic plan for in-place sort. M E

- Create max-heap with all N keys.
- 



# Heapsort: heap construction

# First pass. Build heap using bottom-up method. M





#### **Heap** E Heapsort: sortdown

# Second pass.

- Remove the maximum, one at a time. a time e at a time.
	- says in speak, instead of willing and  $\overline{a}$ **• Leave in array, instead of nulling out.**  $\underset{\text{staring point (hcap-ordered)}}{\circledcirc}$ 
		- sink(a, 1, N);<br>, exch(a, 1, N--); E **while (N > 1) { }**

M

O

E L

sink(4, 11)

sink(3, 11)



**Heapsort: constructing (left) and sorting down (right) a heap**

#### Heapsort: Java implementation



#### Heapsort: trace

Heapsort animation



25

Heapsort: mathematical analysis

Proposition Q. At most 2 N lg N compares and exchanges.

Significance. Sort in N log N worst-case without using extra memory.

- Mergesort: no, linear extra space.
- in-place merge possible, not practical
- Quicksort: no, quadratic time in worst case. N log N worst-case quicksort possible,
- Heapsort: yes!

not practical

Bottom line. Heapsort is optimal for both time and space, but:

- Inner loop longer than quicksort's.
- Makes poor use of cache memory.
- Not stable.

# 50 random elements

in order algorithm position not in order

**http://www.sorting-algorithms.com/heap-sort**





# Molecular dynamics simulation of hard discs

Goal. Simulate the motion of N moving particles that behave according to the laws of elastic collision.



# Molecular dynamics simulation of hard discs

Goal. Simulate the motion of N moving particles that behave according to the laws of elastic collision.

#### Hard disc model.

- Moving particles interact via elastic collisions with each other and walls.
- Each particle is a disc with known position, velocity, mass, and radius.
- No other forces.

#### temperature, pressure, diffusion constant

motion of individual atoms and molecules

Significance. Relates macroscopic observables to microscopic dynamics.

- Maxwell-Boltzmann: distribution of speeds as a function of temperature.
- Einstein: explain Brownian motion of pollen grains.

29

 $30<sup>2</sup>$ 

Time-driven simulation. N bouncing balls in the unit square.



# Warmup: bouncing balls



Missing. Check for balls colliding with each other.

- Physics problems: when? what effect?
- CS problems: which object does the check? too many checks?

36

#### Time-driven simulation

- Discretize time in quanta of size dt.
- Update the position of each particle after every dt units of time, and check for overlaps.
- If overlap, roll back the clock to the time of the collision, update the velocities of the colliding particles, and continue the simulation.

#### Time-driven simulation

#### Main drawbacks.

- $\bullet \sim N^2/2$  overlap checks per time quantum.
- Simulation is too slow if dt is very small.
- May miss collisions if dt is too large. (if colliding particles fail to overlap when we are looking)











 $t + dt$   $t + 2 dt$ (collision detected)

 $t + \Delta t$ (roll back clock)

# Event-driven simulation

# Change state only when something happens.

- Between collisions, particles move in straight-line trajectories.
- Focus only on times when collisions occur.
- Maintain PQ of collision events, prioritized by time.
- Remove the min = get next collision.

Collision prediction. Given position, velocity, and radius of a particle, when will it collide next with a wall or another particle?

Collision resolution. If collision occurs, update colliding particle(s) according to laws of elastic collisions.



# Particle-wall collision

# Collision prediction and resolution.

- Particle of radius *s* at position (*rx*, *ry*).
- Particle moving in unit box with velocity (*vx*, *vy*).
- Will it collide with a vertical wall? If so, when?



Particle-particle collision prediction

# Collision prediction.

- Particle *i*: radius *si*, position (*rxi*, *ryi*), velocity (*vxi*, *vyi*).
- Particle *j*: radius *sj*, position (*rxj*, *ryj*), velocity (*vxj*, *vyj*).
- Will particles *i* and *j* collide? If so, when?



# Particle-particle collision prediction

# Collision prediction.

37

39

- Particle *i*: radius *si*, position (*rxi*, *ryi*), velocity (*vxi*, *vyi*).
- Particle *j*: radius *sj*, position (*rxj*, *ryj*), velocity (*vxj*, *vyj*).
- Will particles *i* and *j* collide? If so, when?

$$
\Delta t = \begin{cases}\n\infty & \text{if } \Delta v \cdot \Delta r \ge 0 \\
\infty & \text{if } d < 0 \\
\frac{\Delta v \cdot \Delta r + \sqrt{d}}{\Delta v \cdot \Delta v} & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
d = (\Delta v \cdot \Delta r)^2 - (\Delta v \cdot \Delta v) (\Delta r \cdot \Delta r - \sigma^2) \qquad \sigma = \sigma_i + \sigma_j
$$

 $\Delta v = (\Delta vx, \ \Delta vy) = (vx_i - vx_j, \ vy_i - vy_j)$  $\Delta r = (\Delta rx, \Delta ry) = (rx_i - rx_i, ry_i - ry_i)$  $\Delta v \cdot \Delta v = (\Delta v x)^2 + (\Delta v y)^2$  $\Delta r \cdot \Delta r = (\Delta rx)^2 + (\Delta ry)^2$  $Δ*v* · Δ*r* = (Δ*vx*)(Δ*rx*) + (Δ*vv*)(Δ*rv*)$ 

Particle data type skeleton

Collision resolution. When two particles collide, how does velocity change?

$$
vx'_{i} = vx_{i} + Jx / m_{i}
$$
  
\n
$$
vy'_{i} = vy_{i} + Jy / m_{i}
$$
  
\n
$$
vx'_{j} = vx_{j} - Jx / m_{j}
$$
  
\n
$$
vy'_{j} = vy_{j} - Jy / m_{j}
$$
  
\n
$$
T = vy_{j} - Jy / m_{j}
$$
  
\n
$$
T = v
$$

$$
Jx = \frac{J \Delta rx}{\sigma}, \quad Jy = \frac{J \Delta ry}{\sigma}, \quad J = \frac{2m_i m_j (\Delta v \cdot \Delta r)}{\sigma (m_i + m_j)}
$$

impulse due to normal force (conservation of energy, conservation of momentum)

Important note: This is high-school physics, so we won't be testing you on it!

#### Particle-particle collision and resolution implementation





# Collision system: event-driven simulation main loop

#### Initialization.

- Fill PQ with all potential particle-wall collisions.
- Fill PQ with all potential particle-particle collisions.

"potential" since collision may not happen if some other collision intervenes



#### Main loop.

- Delete the impending event from PQ (min priority = *t*).
- If the event has been invalidated, ignore it.
- Advance all particles to time *t*, on a straight-line trajectory.
- Update the velocities of the colliding particle(s).
- Predict future particle-wall and particle-particle collisions involving the colliding particle(s) and insert events onto PQ.

 $\overline{41}$ 

### Collision system implementation: skeleton

**{**

 **}**

**}**

#### Conventions.

- Neither particle **null** ⇒ particle-particle collision.
- One particle **null** <sup>⇒</sup> particle-wall collision.
- Both particles **null** <sup>⇒</sup> redraw event.



#### **public class CollisionSystem private MinPQ<Event> pq;** // the priority queue  **private double t = 0.0; // simulation clock time private Particle[] particles; // the array of particles public CollisionSystem(Particle[] particles) { } private void predict(Particle a) { if (a == null) return;** for (int  $i = 0$ ;  $i < N$ ;  $i++)$  **{ double dt = a.timeToHit(particles[i]); pq.insert(new Event(t + dt, a, particles[i]));** add to PQ all particle-wall and particleparticle collisions involving this particle

 **} pq.insert(new Event(t + a.timeToHitVerticalWall() , a, null)); pq.insert(new Event(t + a.timeToHitHorizontalWall(), null, a));**

 **private void redraw() { }**

 **public void simulate() { /\* see next slide \*/ }**

#### Collision system implementation: main event-driven simulation loop



#### Simulation example 1

#### **% java CollisionSystem 100**



48

**% java CollisionSystem < billiards.txt**







50

# Simulation example 4

