

Assignment #3

Due, Monday, November ,

Sanjeev Arora

Suggested reading (for lectures 7,8,9,10,11): Sipser Chapters 3, 4, 5. Section 5.2 was not covered in class but should be perused.

Problems (from lectures 7, 8, 9, 10,11):

1. Give the transition diagram of a Turing Machine that accepts $\{ww^R : w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$.
2. Let the set of languages over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ be divided into the following classes. *Class* , , Regular. *Class* , , Context-free but not Regular. *Class* , , Decidable but not Context-free. *Class* , , Recognizable but not Decidable. *Class* , , Not Recognizable.

If x is an integer, then let $[x]_2$ denote its binary representation. Classify each of the following languages and give a brief (1-2 lines) reason.

- (i) $\{[n]_2 : n \geq 0\}$.
- (ii) $\{[2^n]_2 : n \geq 0\}$.
- (iii) $\{[2^p]_2 : p \text{ is a prime number}\}$.
- (iv) $\{[2^{2^n} + 2^n]_2 : n \geq 0\}$.
- (v) $\{[n]_2 : M \text{ accepts some string of length } \geq n\}$, where M is a Turing Machine.

3. Is the following language decidable? Prove your answer.

$\{\langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a CFG that generates some string of even length}\}$

4. Give short proofs of the following facts about mapping reducibility (\leq_m). For any languages A, B, C : (a) $A \leq_m A$. (b) If $A \leq_m B$ and $B \leq_m C$ then $A \leq_m C$. (c) If $A \leq_m B$ then $\overline{A} \leq_m \overline{B}$. (d) If A is enumerable and $A \leq_m \overline{A}$ then A is decidable. (e) If A is decidable then $A \leq_m 0^*1^*$. (f) If A is enumerable then $A \leq_m K_{TM}$
5. If u, v are binary strings, we say that $u < v$ if $1u$ represents a smaller integer than $1v$. Show that a language L is decidable iff the strings in L can be enumerated in an increasing order.
6. Let B be an infinite Turing-recognizable language consisting of descriptions of Turing machines $\{\langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle, \langle M_3 \rangle, \dots\}$. Show that there is a decidable language C consisting of Turing machines such that every machine in C has an equivalent Turing machine in B and vice versa. (Two Turing machines are *equivalent* if they have the same output on every input.)

7. In this question we explore the notion of *oracle reducibility*. If A is a language, then a *Turing machine with oracle A* is a machine with a “magical” subroutine that can decide membership in A . In other words, the subroutine, when given a string w , tells the machine whether or not $w \in A$. Show that there is a Turing machine with oracle $HALT_{TM}$ that can solve the following problem with *two* questions to its oracle: Given any three (machine, input) pairs $(\langle M_1, x_1 \rangle, \langle M_2, x_2 \rangle, \langle M_3, x_3 \rangle)$, decide for each pair whether or not it is in $HALT_{TM}$.
8. (a) Show that \mathfrak{R} the set of real numbers, has the same size as $2^{\mathbf{N}}$, the power-set of the set of natural numbers. (ii) Show that $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}$, the set of all pairs of real numbers, has the same size as \mathfrak{R} . (*Aside, Can you think of a set whose size lies in between those of \mathbf{N} and $2^{\mathbf{N}}$, This question has stumped mathematicians for many decades,*)